

The Equivariant Tamagawa Number Conjecture for modular motives with coefficients in the Hecke algebra

Olivier Fouquet

Abstract

We propose a formulation of the Equivariant Tamagawa Number Conjecture for modular motives with coefficients in universal deformation rings and Hecke algebras; something which seems to have been heretofore missing because the complexes of Galois cohomology required were not known to be perfect. We show that the fundamental line of this conjecture satisfies the expected compatibility property at geometric points (more precisely at the points satisfying the Weight-Monodromy conjecture) and is compatible with level-lowering and level-raising. Combining these properties with the methods of Euler and Taylor-Wiles systems, we prove a significant part of the ETNC with coefficients in Hecke algebras for motives attached to modular forms.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, BÂTIMENT 425, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES D'ORSAY UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD

E-mail address: `olivier.fouquet@math.u-psud.fr`

Telephone number: +33169155729

Fax number: +33169156019

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Motivation	2
1.2	Main results	5
1.3	Outline of the proofs	7
2	Notations	10
2.1	Modular curves and their cohomology	10
2.1.1	Modular curves	10
2.1.2	Hecke correspondences	11
2.1.3	Cohomology	11
2.2	Galois representations	12
2.2.1	Residual and rational representations	12
2.2.2	Deformations	13
2.3	Motives attached to modular forms	15
2.4	Hida theory	16
3	The ETNC for modular motives	18
3.1	Nekovář-Selmer complexes, étale cohomology and the determinant functor	18
3.1.1	Review of the determinant functor	18

3.1.2	Nekovář-Selmer complexes and étale cohomology	18
3.2	Integral lattices in the cohomology of modular curves	20
3.2.1	Integral lattices	20
3.2.2	The Weight-Monodromy conjecture for modular motives	21
3.3	Review of the ETNC with coefficients in Λ	22
3.3.1	Λ -adic representation	22
3.3.2	Review of the construction of Kato's Euler system	23
3.3.3	Euler systems with coefficients in Λ	25
3.3.4	Zeta elements for $M \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_m$	26
3.3.5	The ETNC for $M \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ at p	29
3.4	The ETNC with coefficients in $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}$	30
3.4.1	The ETNC with coefficients in $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}$	31
3.4.2	The ETNC with coefficients in $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}$	32
4	Proofs of the main results	37
4.1	A lemma about Euler systems for modular forms	37
4.2	Weak forms of the ETNC conjectures	38
4.3	Proof of conjecture 4.7 under assumption 4.9	39
4.3.1	Trivialization of the fundamental lines	39
4.3.2	The Taylor-Wiles system of refined fundamental lines	40
4.3.3	Reduction to classical Iwasawa theory of modular forms	42
4.4	Proof of conjecture 4.7 under assumption 4.10	43
4.4.1	Hida-theoretic conjectures	43
4.4.2	Reduction to classical Iwasawa theory for modular forms of weight $k > 2$	45
4.4.3	Proof of corollary 1.3	45
4.5	Proof of conjecture 4.5 in the remaining cases	46
4.6	Proof of corollary 1.4 and theorem 1.2	46
4.6.1	Proof of corollary 1.4	46
4.6.2	Proof of theorem 1.2	47

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Tamagawa Number Conjectures for modular motives Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ be an eigencuspform of weight $k \geq 2$ with coefficients in a number field F . To f is attached in [Sch90] a Grothendieck motive $M(f)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_F$ whose partial L -function

$$L_S(M(f), s) = \prod_{\ell \notin S} \text{Eul}_{\ell}(M(f)_{\text{et}, p}, \ell^{-s})$$

relative to a finite (possibly empty) set of finite primes S is equal to the automorphic L -function $L_S(f, s)$; hence has ℓ -adic Euler factors independent of the choice of the auxiliary prime p and admits a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$. The study of the values of $L_S(M(f), s)$ at $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ therefore falls under the scope of the Tamagawa Number Conjectures of [BK90] on special values of L -functions of motives and, in fact, provided much of the historical motivation for their precise statements (compare for instance the ratio-

nality statements at critical points of [Shi76, Del79, Beĭ86, Beĭ84] and the study of the exact value at critical points of [Kat93a, Kat04]). More generally, and more precisely, the so-called equivariant refinement of these conjectures given in [Kat93a, Kat93b] predicts the equivariant special values of the L -function of the motive $M(f) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} L$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_F[G]$ where $L/\mathbb{Q}$ is a finite abelian extension with Galois group G .

Conjecture 1.1. *Denote by f^* the eigenform whose eigenvalues are the complex conjugates of those of f . For all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all finite set S containing the rational primes ramifying in L , let $L_S^G(f^*, s)$ be the element of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ such that $\chi(L_S^G(f^*, s)) = L_S(f^*, \chi, s)$ for all character $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ extended by linearity to $\mathbb{C}[G]$. There exists a free one-dimensional $F[G]$ -module $\Delta_{L/\mathbb{Q}, S}(M(f)(s))$ called the fundamental line and a motivic zeta element $\mathbf{z}_{L/\mathbb{Q}, S}(f)(s) \in \Delta_{L/\mathbb{Q}, S}(M(f)(s))$ satisfying the following properties.*

1. *For each complex embedding $\iota : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\text{per}_{\iota, \mathbb{C}} : \Delta_{L/\mathbb{Q}, S}(M(f)(s)) \otimes_{F, \iota} \mathbb{C}^{\text{can}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[G].$$

The image of $\mathbf{z}_{L/\mathbb{Q}, S}(f) \otimes 1$ under $\text{per}_{\iota, \mathbb{C}}$ is equal to $L_S^G(f^*, s)$.

2. *For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$, there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\text{per}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \Delta_{L/\mathbb{Q}, S}(M(f)) \otimes_F F_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \text{Det}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{-1} \text{R} \Gamma_f(G_{\mathbb{Q}, S}, M(f)_{\text{et}, \mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} F_{\mathfrak{p}}[G])$$

to the determinant of the Nekovář-Selmer complex of $M(f)_{\text{et}, \mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} F_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$. The equality

$$\text{per}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}[G](\mathbf{z}_{L/\mathbb{Q}, S}(f) \otimes 1)) = \text{Det}_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}[G]}^{-1} \text{R} \Gamma_f(G_{\mathbb{Q}, S}, T(f))$$

holds for any free $G_{\mathbb{Q}, S}$ -stable $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}[G]$ -lattice $T(f)$ inside $M(f)_{\text{et}, \mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} F_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$.

We refer to [BK90, Kat93a, FPR94] for the conjectural definitions of $\Delta_{L/\mathbb{Q}, S}(M(f)(s))$, $\text{per}_{\iota, \mathbb{C}}$ and $\text{per}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and to [Nek06] (or subsection 3.1 below) for the definition of the Nekovář-Selmer complex.

The two statements of conjecture 1.1 are commonly conjointly interpreted as predicting the special values of L -functions in terms of Galois cohomological data but reversing the perspective, as in [Kat07] or indeed as in the original work of Dirichlet on the class number formula or of Gauss in the final paragraph of the *Disquisitiones*, they also provide a description of the Galois action on arithmetic invariants of $M(f)$ in terms of the special values of the L -function of its dual. In closer analogy with the study of L -functions of schemes of finite types over finite fields as in [Dix68, Exposé III] and [Del77], they can also be understood as a simultaneous description of Galois cohomology and special values of L -functions in terms of the single underlying element $\mathbf{z}_{L/\mathbb{Q}, S}(f)$, which then thus appears to be a global equivalent of the Frobenius morphism. This latter perspective has the additional benefit it makes clear that, as first noted in [Kat93a], the system $\{\mathbf{z}_{L/\mathbb{Q}, S}(f)\}_{L, S}$ when L spans finite abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ form an Euler system in the sense of [Kol90]; a fact whose generalization is of crucial importance in this manuscript.

Let $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Q}_n$ its only sub-field of degree p^n and Λ the completed group-algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}/\mathbb{Q})]]$ of its Galois group. Putting together the collection of the Equivariant Tamagawa Number Conjectures at p for the extensions $\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q}$ (henceforth ETNC for $\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q}$) yields a conjecture with coefficients in Λ which we refer to as the

Equivariant Tamagawa Number Conjecture for $M(f)$ with coefficients in Λ (henceforth ETNC with coefficients in Λ). After the construction of zeta elements for modular forms in [Kat04] and the awe-inspiring proof that they satisfy the first of the two fundamental properties of conjecture 1.1 at critical points and, so to speak, half of the second, it seems to have been known to experts (though never published to the best of my knowledge) that the ETNC for $\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q}$ for large enough n at any s was a consequence of the ETNC with coefficients in Λ (a comparable statement restricted to critical value is in [Kat04, Section 13]). When f is p -ordinary, that is to say when $a_p(f)$ is a p -adic unit, and under a few other technical hypotheses [SU13, Theorem 3.29] establishes a divisibility in the ETNC with coefficients in Λ . Together with [Kat04, Theorem 12.5], this proves the ETNC with coefficients in Λ for $M(f)$ in this setting and hence the ETNC for $\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q}$ with n large. The main outstanding problem thus remains the case of the special value at the central critical point when the L -function vanishes with high order.

Equivariant conjectures with coefficients in the Hecke algebra These achievements, though spectacular, are far from being the end of the study of special values of L -functions of modular motives. Indeed, the motive $M(f)$ is constructed as a quotient of the Chow motive of a modular curve with weight k and hence admits an action of the Hecke algebra, so that one could envision an Equivariant Tamagawa Number Conjecture with coefficients in the Hecke algebra (henceforth ETNC with coefficients in the Hecke algebra). This conjecture would be much stronger than the ETNC with coefficients in Λ as it would encode not only the special values of the L -function of a single eigenform but also congruences between special values of congruent eigenforms (that such congruences could or should be true was already discussed in [Maz79, I.1.A]). In fact, as Hecke algebras were conjectured in [MT90] to be universal deformation rings in the sense of [Maz89] and as [Wil95, TW95] and much subsequent works established this conjecture in many cases, the ETNC with coefficients in the Hecke algebra should be the most general possible Tamagawa Number Conjecture with commutative coefficients. In analogy with the ETNC for $L/\mathbb{Q}$ above, a tentative statement of the ETNC with coefficients in the Hecke algebra would be as follows.

Conjecture 1.2 (Tentative statement). *Let M be the motive with weight k of the modular curve $X(N)$. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a local quotient of the p -adic Hecke algebra acting on M and let $Q(\mathbf{T})$ be its total ring of fraction. For all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a free rank one $Q(\mathbf{T})$ -module $\Delta(s)$ and a p -adic universal zeta element $\mathbf{z}(s)$ satisfying the following properties.*

1. *For all eigenform f under the action of $\mathbf{T}$ with coefficients in $F/\mathbb{Q}$ and all primes $\mathfrak{p}|p$, there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\Delta(s) \otimes_{Q(\mathbf{T})} F_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\text{can}}_{f, \mathfrak{p}} \Delta(M(f)(s)) \otimes_F F_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

sending $\mathbf{z}(s)$ to $\mathbf{z}(f)(s)$.

2. *There exists a canonical isomorphism of $Q(\mathbf{T})$ -modules*

$$\text{per}_p : \Delta(s) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \text{Det}_{Q(\mathbf{T})}^{-1} \text{R} \Gamma_f(G_{\mathbb{Q}, S}, M_{\text{et}, p}(s)).$$

The equality

$$\text{per}_p(\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{z}(s)) = \text{Det}_{\mathbf{T}}^{-1} \text{R} \Gamma_f(G_{\mathbb{Q}, S}, T)$$

holds for any free $G_{\mathbb{Q}, S}$ -stable $\mathbf{T}$ -lattice $T \subset M_{\text{et}, p}(s)$.

Unfortunately, under the present guise, these tentative statements do not form a conjecture at all. First, they do not specify which Hecke algebra exactly we are considering: does it contain Hecke operators $T(\ell)$ at $\ell|N$? Does it act faithfully on modular forms new of level N ? Second, they do not specify how the canonical isomorphism $\cong_{f,\lambda}^{\text{can}}$ and per_p are constructed. Third, it is not known whether the complex $R\Gamma_f(G_{\mathbb{Q},S}, T)$ is a perfect complex of $\mathbf{T}$ -modules; the difficulty lying in proving that $\Gamma(I_\ell, -)$ sends perfect complexes of $\mathbf{T}$ -modules to perfect complexes of $\mathbf{T}$ -modules for $\ell \neq p$. Consequently, statement 2 of conjecture 1.2 as it stands is in fact woefully undefined.

1.2 Main results

The aim of this manuscript is to give a precise formulation of the ETNC with coefficients in the Hecke algebra for modular motives and to prove a large part of it when the hypotheses of the method of Taylor-Wiles systems are satisfied. Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ be an eigencuspform of weight $k \geq 2$ and let p be an odd prime. Let $\mathbf{T}_m$ be the local factor of the p -adic reduced Hecke algebra attached to f and let $\mathbb{F}$ be the residue field of $\mathbf{T}_m$. Denote by

$$\bar{\rho}_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$$

the residual $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation attached to f and by $N(\bar{\rho}_f)$ its Artin conductor outside p . Let Σ be a finite set of finite places containing $\{\ell | N(\bar{\rho}_f)p\}$. The following is our main theorem (see theorem 4.1 for a precise statement).

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies the following hypotheses.*

1. *Let p^* be $(-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$. The representation $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*})}}$ is absolutely irreducible.*
2. *Either the representation $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible but not scalar (in which case we say that $\bar{\rho}_f$ is nearly ordinary) or there exists a commutative finite flat p -torsion group scheme G over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and a character $\bar{\mu}$ such that $\bar{\rho}_f \otimes \bar{\mu}^{-1}$ is isomorphic as $\bar{\mathbb{F}}[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$ -module to $(G \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)[p]$ (in which case we say that $\bar{\rho}_f$ is flat).*
3. *There exists $\ell \in \Sigma$ such that $\ell | N(\bar{\rho}_f)$ and such that the image of $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$ contains a non-identity unipotent element.*

Let $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ be $\mathbf{T}_m \hat{\otimes} \Lambda$ and let $Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ be its total ring of fractions. Let $T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ be the $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma}$ -representation with coefficients in $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ deforming $\bar{\rho}_f$. Then there exists a fundamental line $\Delta_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ with coefficients in $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ and a universal zeta element $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ which is a basis of $\Delta_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ satisfying the following properties.

1. *For all integer $1 \leq s \leq k-1$, all eigencuspform $g \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ congruent to f and all character χ of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$ of large enough finite order, there exists a specified morphism $\text{per}_{g, \chi, s}$ sending $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ to $L_{\{p\}}(g^*, \chi, s)$ (here, as above, g^* denotes the eigencuspform whose eigenvalues are the complex conjugates of those of g).*
2. *There exists a specified isomorphism*

$$\Delta_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \text{Det}_{Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})}^{-1} \left(R\Gamma_c(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \right)$$

such that the image of $\Delta_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ contains $\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}^{-1} R\Gamma_c(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$.

By way of exegesis, we note that the first property for $g = f$ identifies the image of $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ with the zeta element of the ETNC with coefficients in Λ for f , and hence that $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ is an interpolation with coefficients in the Hecke algebra of the zeta elements of the ETNC with coefficients in Λ for modular forms congruent to f . The ETNC with coefficients in $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ would then predict an equality between $\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}^{-1} \text{R}\Gamma_c(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ and the image of $\Delta_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$, whereas we only state and prove an inclusion. Hence, theorem 1.1 is a weak form of the ETNC with coefficients in the Hecke algebra. Nevertheless, this weak form is enough to entail a number of interesting results, and in particular that the full ETNC with coefficients in the Hecke algebra is often true (see theorem 4.2 for a precise statement).

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be an odd prime and N such that $p \nmid N$. Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(p^r) \cap \Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigencuspform. Assume that $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies the following hypotheses.*

1. *Let p^* be $(-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$. The representation $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*})}}$ is absolutely irreducible.*
2. *The semi-simplification of $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible but not scalar.*
3. *There exists $\ell \in \Sigma$ such that $\ell \mid N(\bar{\rho}_f)$ and such that the image of $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$ contains a non-identity unipotent element.*

Then the ETNC with coefficients in $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ for the motive $M(f)$ is true at p .

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 seem to be among the first general results on the ETNC with coefficients in Hecke algebras; if only for the somewhat tautological reason that no prior unconditional formulation of this conjecture seems to exist in the literature.¹

We also record here some technical consequences of theorem 1.1 which improve on the existing literature. Let $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension containing all the eigenvalues of f , let $\mathcal{O}$ be its ring of integers and let Λ be $\mathcal{O}[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})]]$. Let $V(f)$ be the two-dimensional $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation attached to f , let $T(f)$ be a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice in $V(f)$ and let $T(f)_{\text{Iw}}$ be the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation $T(f) \otimes \Lambda$ with action on both sides of the tensor product. Let $\mathbf{z}(f)$ be the zeta element of the ETNC with coefficients in Λ for f . Then $\mathbf{z}(f)$ can be regarded as an element of $H_{\text{et}}^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}})$ and the ETNC with coefficients in Λ for f is equivalent to the equality

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda} H_{\text{et}}^2(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) = \text{char}_{\Lambda} H_{\text{et}}^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}})/\mathbf{z}(f).$$

See conjecture 3.9 for a details.

Corollary 1.3. *Assume the hypotheses and notations of theorem 1.1. Then*

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda} H_{\text{et}}^2(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \mid \text{char}_{\Lambda} H_{\text{et}}^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}})/\mathbf{z}(f). \quad (1.2.1)$$

In [Kat04, Theorem 12.5], this divisibility is proved only possibly up to a local error term at p which vanishes if $\rho_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is potentially crystalline. While the difference might seem technical and unimportant, the ideas behind the proof of corollary 1.3 are actually among the most sophisticated of the manuscript and play a crucial role in the general argument.

Theorem 1.1 also allows us to refine known results on the compatibility between the ETNC with coefficients in the Hecke algebra and the ETNC with coefficients in Λ (see corollary 4.17 for a precise statement).

¹[Kat93b, Conjecture 3.2.2] takes as input a smooth sheaf over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$, [Gre91, Conjecture 2.2] requires the coefficient ring to be integrally closed.

Corollary 1.4. *Assume the hypotheses and notations of corollary 1.3. Then the four following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *The ETNC with coefficients in Λ for f is true.*
2. *There exists an eigencuspform g of weight k congruent to f modulo p for which the ETNC with coefficients in Λ is true.*
3. *For all eigencuspform g of weight k congruent to f modulo p , the ETNC with coefficients in Λ is true.*
4. *The ETNC with coefficients in the Hecke algebra for f is true.*

If moreover $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible, then the condition that g has the same weight as f can be removed in assertions 2 and 3.

Results of this type were proved in [EPW06] under the hypotheses that $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible and that the μ -invariant $\mu(f)$ of f is trivial. In [Och06], they were proved under the hypotheses that $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible, that f belongs to $S_k(\Gamma_1(p^r))$ and that the ordinary Hida-Hecke algebra attached to f is a regular local ring. The hypotheses on the triviality of μ and the regularity of the Hida-Hecke algebra are believed to always hold, but very few non-tautological criteria exist to establish their veracity as far as this author knows.

1.3 Outline of the proofs

The Weight-Monodromy conjecture and special values of L -function Our first task is to formulate an unconditional conjecture that would coincide with the usual ETNC when the latter is well-defined. This we achieve through the following crucial observation: the severe constraints conjecturally put on the action of the inertia group on the p -adic étale realization of a motive by the Weight-Monodromy conjecture (henceforth WMC) allow to refine the definition of the local complexes involved in the statement of the ETNC. This process yields objects we call *refined fundamental lines* which are not in general determinants of perfect complexes but rather canonical trivializations of invertible graded modules which themselves are the determinants of the sought for perfect complexes when these are known to exist. When the motive is of automorphic origin, the description of the WMC is supplemented by automorphic data coming from the Local Langlands Correspondence and our construction are in this way shown to be compatible with the action of the Hecke algebra. Indeed, the very definition of the refined fundamental line for an automorphic motive singles out a specific local factor of the Hecke algebra which coincides with the universal deformation ring subject to natural conditions. A conceptually satisfying property of the refined fundamental lines is that they are almost by construction shown to be compatible with change of rings of coefficients at motivic points; a property which generalizes the control theorem of [Maz72] (and much subsequent work) in a probably optimal way. That it is compatible with change of levels in the automorphic sense is a much deeper result which in the case of modular curves amounts to the compatibility of the refined fundamental line with specialization and a variant of Ihara's lemma.

We are then finally in position to formulate our version of the ETNC with coefficients in Hecke algebras for modular motives: see conjectures 3.14 and 3.24 for precise statements.

These conjectures are equivalent to the usual trivializations of the determinants of étale cohomology with compact support when all necessary objects are known to be defined and equivalent to the usual equality of characteristic ideals when specialized to Λ or when the Hecke algebra is known to be regular. A crucial fact is that different choices of Hecke algebras, more specifically reduced Hecke algebras and irreducible components thereof, yield different refined fundamental lines and hence different mutually compatible conjectures. This reflects the fact that the ETNC should be sensitive to changes of the action of inertia through specialization; an observation that has been conceptually understood from a conjectural point of view at least since the study in [Fon92, Kat93a] of partial L -functions and is also at the heart of [EPW06, Section 3.5].

Euler systems and Taylor-Wiles systems Our proof of part of our conjectures under the hypotheses of theorem 1.1 is then by an amplification of the method of Euler/Kolyvagin systems, where two actually quite distinct ideas are subsumed under this name. The first one, due to V.Kolyvagin in [Kol90], is the observation that Galois cohomology classes satisfying compatibility relations in towers of extensions reminiscent of the properties of partial Euler products yield systems of classes with coefficients in principal artinian rings whose local behaviors is sufficiently constrained to establish a crude bound on the order of some Galois cohomology or Selmer groups. The second idea is a descent principle due to K.Rubin which allows under suitable assumptions to translate a collection of crude bounds for many specializations with coefficients in artinian rings in a sharp bound in the limit, that is for objects with coefficients in Iwasawa algebras. When the ring of coefficients of the limit object is not known to be normal, as is the case with Hecke algebra, this descent principle meets quite formidable challenge, as it is of course entirely possible for an invertible module to be non-integral while all its specializations to discrete valuation rings are integral in which case, no contradiction can arise by descent. For this reason, most account of the Euler/Kolyvagin systems method ([PR98, Rub00, Kat04, MR04, How04a, How04b, Och05, Fou10] for instance) assume that the ring of coefficients is regular, or at least normal, and those which don't ([Kat99, Fou13] for instance) typically prove weaker statement at the locus of non-normality of the coefficient ring.

Our second main novel contribution allows us to bypass this difficulty by first resolving the singularities of the Hecke algebra using the method of Taylor-Wiles of [Wil95, TW95] systems as axiomatized in [Dia96, Fuj99] before applying the descent procedure. Under the two first hypotheses of theorem 1.1, there exists a Taylor-Wiles system Δ_Q of refined fundamental lines yielding a limit object Δ_∞ over a regular local ring R_∞ . If the limit object Δ_∞ is not integral, then it has non-integral specializations to discrete valuation rings. Even though Δ_∞ itself has no Galois interpretation, its specializations do, so that this non-integrality contradicts Kolyvagin's bound (or more accurately the sharper results of [Kat04]). Hence Δ_∞ is integral. Then so are the Δ_Q and in particular the fundamental line Δ we started with. This argument is by nature extremely sensitive to the existence of any error term at any step and thus relies in an essential way on the exact control property of the refined fundamental lines.

We make the following observation, which lies at the conceptual core of this manuscript: just as the conjectured compatibility of the Tamagawa Number Conjecture with the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{Np^s})/\mathbb{Q})$ -action coming from the covering $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{Np^s}, 1/p] \longrightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ implies that motivic zeta elements form an Euler system, the conjectured compatibility of

the Tamagawa Number Conjecture with the action of the Hecke algebra coming from the covering $X_{U'} \rightarrow X_U$ of Shimura varieties implies that the refined fundamental lines form a Taylor-Wiles system. In both cases, the compatibilities we hope for the conjectures on special values of L -functions therefore suggest powerful tools to prove the conjectures.

The nearly ordinary case The argument outlined above establishes theorem 1.1 and corollary 1.4 under the hypothesis that $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is irreducible. When $\bar{\rho}_f$ is nearly ordinary, even the sharper result of [Kat04] for the ETNC with coefficients in Λ may contain a slight error term linked to trivial zeroes which is enough to prevent us from reaching the desired contradiction at the very end of the argument. Hence, we are forced to repeat the argument over the p -adic families of nearly ordinary modular forms parametrized by $\Lambda_{\text{Hi}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ constructed by H.Hida in [Hid86, Hid88, Hid89a] (see also [Wil88]). Fortunately, the definitions of our refined fundamental lines carries over to that setting and versions of the Taylor-Wiles systems machinery over Λ_{Hi} exist. This allows us to reduce the proof of theorem 1.1 to the case $k > 2$. This finishes the proof of theorem 1.1 and of corollaries 1.3 and 1.4.

The ETNC with coefficients in Hecke algebras Under the hypotheses of theorem 1.2, the main results of [Kat04] establish an inclusion in the ETNC with coefficients in Λ for f and the main results of [SU13] establish the reverse inclusion. Combined, they thus imply that the ETNC with coefficients in Λ is true for f . In general, the truth of the ETNC with coefficients with Λ is very far to imply formally the truth of the ETNC with coefficients in the universal deformation ring $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ but granted the full force of theorem 1.1, it is enough to prove theorem 1.2 to exhibit a single modular specialization of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ for which the ETNC with coefficients in Λ is true, and so the combined results of [Kat04, SU13] allow us to conclude.

Discussion of the hypotheses Here follows a brief discussion of the hypotheses of theorem 1.2 and of their relevance. The first two numbered hypotheses are the familiar hypotheses of the Taylor-Wiles method so are used in a crucial way in the proof of theorem 1.1. They could probably be dispensed with at the price of inverting p by an appeal to the generalization of the method of Taylor-Wiles systems introduced in [Kis09], the main difficulties being to show that there exists a sheaf of zeta elements on the eigencurve of [CM98]. The hypotheses on f and N come from [SU13, Corollary 3.28]. The last numbered hypothesis is thus presumably the most mysterious. In fact, it comes both from [SU13], where it is assumed in order to quote results of [Vat03] on the vanishing of the anticyclotomic μ -invariant, and from [Kat04], as a classical group-theoretic argument in the method of Euler systems proves under this hypothesis an expected bound on the cyclotomic μ -argument. Hence, a single hypothesis impacts both the cyclotomic and anticyclotomic μ -invariants of modular forms, though through seemingly completely two different ways, and furthermore this hypothesis amounts to requiring that $\pi(f)$ admits a p -adically interpolatable Jacquet-Langlands switch to an indefinite quaternionic automorphic representation. This could be a coincidence or reflect a possible, but at present mysterious, unified automorphic treatment of μ -invariants in the presence of an auxiliary prime with residually maximal monodromy. It might be inferred from this discussion that omitting hypothesis 3 in theorem 1.1 and 1.2 would yield similar theorems (an inclusion for theorem 1.1 and an equality for theorem 1.2) outside of the prime p , but

this is not obviously true as far as this author can see: the possibility of an error term lurking somewhere, even if it is circumscribed to the single prime p , might irremediably damage the descent argument.

2 Notations

General notations Rings are assumed to be commutative. For a field $\mathbb{F}$, the category of complete local noetherian rings with residue field equal to $\mathbb{F}$ (with morphisms inducing identity on $\mathbb{F}$) is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F})$. A representation (T, ρ, R) of a topological group G is a continuous morphism

$$\rho : G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_R(T)$$

from G to the automorphisms of a free R -module T . If K is a field, we write G_K for the Galois group of a separable closure of K . If K is a number field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ and if S is a finite set of rational primes, we denote by $G_{K,S}$ the Galois group of the maximal extension of K unramified outside primes of $\mathcal{O}_K$ above primes in S . For all rational primes ℓ , we fix an algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, an embedding of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ into $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ and an identification $\iota_{\infty, \ell} : \mathbb{C} \simeq \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ extending $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. The Galois group of the unique $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension $\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}$ is denoted by Γ .

2.1 Modular curves and their cohomology

2.1.1 Modular curves

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be the reductive group GL_2 over $\mathbb{Q}$, X be $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, X)$ be the tower of Shimura curves attached to the Shimura datum $(\mathbf{G}, X)$. We consider the following compact open subgroups of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(\infty)})$.

$$\begin{aligned} U(N) &= \prod_{\ell} U(N)_{\ell} = \prod_{\ell} \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \mid g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\ell^{v_{\ell}(N)}} \right\} \\ U_1(N) &= \prod_{\ell} U_1(N)_{\ell} = \prod_{\ell} \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \mid g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\ell^{v_{\ell}(N)}} \right\} \\ U_0(N) &= \prod_{\ell} U_0(N)_{\ell} = \prod_{\ell} \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \mid g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\ell^{v_{\ell}(N)}} \right\} \\ U(M, N) &= \prod_{\ell} U(M, N)_{\ell} = \prod_{\ell} \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \mid g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\ell^{v_{\ell}(M)}}, g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\ell^{v_{\ell}(N)}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The curve $Y(U) = \text{Sh}_U(\mathbf{G}, X)$ and its compactification along cusps $j : Y(U) \hookrightarrow X(U)$ are regular schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$ which are smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ if U_{ℓ} is maximal and U is sufficiently small; *e.g.* $U = U(N)$ and $N \geq 3$ (see [KM85, p. 305]). The set of complex points of $Y(U)$ is given by the double quotient

$$Y(U)(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \left(\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(\infty)}) / U \right)$$

and is an algebraic variety if U is sufficiently small. For $U = U_{?}(*)$ with $? = \emptyset, 0$ or 1 and $* = N$ or N, M , we write $Y_{?}(*)$ for $Y(U)$ and $X_{?}(*)$ for $X(U)$.

2.1.2 Hecke correspondences

Let g be an element of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(\infty)})$. Right multiplication by g induces a finite flat $\mathbb{Q}$ -morphism

$$[\cdot g] : X(U \cap gUg^{-1}) \longrightarrow X(U \cap g^{-1}Ug)$$

which defines the Hecke correspondence $T(g) = [UgU]$ on $X(U)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(U \cap gUg^{-1}) & \xrightarrow{[\cdot g]} & X(U \cap g^{-1}Ug) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X(U) & \xrightarrow{[UgU]} & X(U) \end{array} \quad (2.1.1)$$

For ℓ a prime number and $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ a finite idèle, we denote by $T(\ell)$ the Hecke correspondence $[U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} U]$ and by $\langle a \rangle$ the diamond correspondence $[U \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} U]$. The full classical Hecke algebra $\mathfrak{h}(U)$ of level U is the $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra generated by Hecke and diamond correspondences acting on $X(U)$.

2.1.3 Cohomology

Betti and étale cohomology Let $\pi : E \longrightarrow Y(N)$ be the universal elliptic curve over $Y(N)$ and let $\bar{\pi} : \bar{E} \longrightarrow X(N)$ be the universal generalized elliptic curve over $X(N)$. For $k \geq 2$ an integer, let $\mathcal{H}_{k-2}$ be the local system $\mathrm{Sym}^{k-2} R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$ on $Y(N)(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\mathcal{F}_{k-2}$ be $j_*\mathcal{H}_{k-2}$. If $N \geq 3$, let $R\Gamma_B(X(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2})$ be the singular cohomology complex of the complex points of $X(N)$. If X is a quotient curve $G \backslash X(N)$ with $N \geq 3$ under the action of a finite group G , and if A is a ring in which $|G|$ is invertible, we denote by $H^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A)$ the cohomology group $H^i(X(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A)^G$ and note that it is also the cohomology of the complex $R\Gamma_B(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A)$ where X is seen as a Deligne-Mumford stack over A (in particular $H^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A)$ is independent of the choice of N and G). We denote $H^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ by $H_{\mathrm{et}}^i(X \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and by $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{et}}(X \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$ the corresponding cohomology complex. As usual, we denote by

$$\mathcal{M}_k(U(N)) = H^0(X(N), \pi_*(\Omega_{\bar{E}/X(N)}^1)^{\otimes k})$$

the space of holomorphic modular forms of weight k and by

$$S_k(U(N)) = H^0(X(N), \pi_*(\Omega_{\bar{E}/X(N)}^1)^{\otimes(k-2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(X(N))} \Omega_{X(N)/\mathbb{Q}}^1)$$

the space of holomorphic cusp forms.

Hecke action The Hecke algebra acts contravariantly on cohomological realizations of $X(U)$. In particular, as the Hodge decomposition realizes the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of complex cusp forms $S_k(U)$ as a direct summand of $H^1(X(U)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C})$, the complex Hecke algebra $\mathfrak{h}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ acts on $S_k(U)$. The $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule $S_k(U, \mathbb{Z}) \subset S_k(U)$ of cusp forms with integral q -expansion is stable under the action of $\mathfrak{h}(U)$ thereby induced. This defines an action of $\mathfrak{h}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ on $S_k(U, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ for all ring A . The complex $R\Gamma_B(X(U)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2})$ admits a representation as a bounded below (but not necessarily bounded above) complex of projective $\mathfrak{h}(U)$ -modules.

An eigenform $f \in S_k(U)$ is an eigenvector under the action of all $T(\ell)$. The conductor $\mathfrak{c}(\pi(f))$ of an eigenform is the conductor of the automorphic representation $\pi(f)$ attached to f (see [Cas73, Theorem 1] for the definition of $\mathfrak{c}(\pi(f))$). Two eigenforms are equivalent in the sense of Atkin-Lehner if they are eigenvectors for the same eigenvalues for all $T(\ell)$ except possibly finitely many. A newform $f \in S_k(U)$ is an eigenform such that for all $g \in S_k(U')$ equivalent to f in the sense of Atkin-Lehner, $\mathfrak{c}(\pi(f))$ divides $\mathfrak{c}(\pi(g))$.

Let p be an odd prime. We call $\mathfrak{h}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ the p -adic classical Hecke algebra and denote it by $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}(U)$. It is a semi-local ring finite and free as $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. To an eigenform f is attached a map λ_f from $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}(U)$ to $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that $T(\ell)f = \lambda_f(T(\ell))f$ and conversely we say that a map λ from a quotient of sub-algebra of $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}(U)$ to a discrete valuation ring in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is modular if there exists an eigenform f such that $\lambda = \lambda_f$. Let the reduced Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(U) \subset \mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}(U)$ be the sub $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra generated by the diamond operators and the Hecke operators $T(\ell)$ for ℓ such that U_ℓ is a maximal compact open subgroup. Let the new Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U)$ be the quotient of $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}(U)$ acting faithfully on the space of newforms of level U . Both $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(U)$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U)$ are finite flat reduced semi-local $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras.

2.2 Galois representations

2.2.1 Residual and rational representations

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be either $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(U)$ or $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U)$ and let $f \in S_k(U)$ be an eigenform which is a newform in case $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U)$. There exists a finite extension $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ whose ring of integers we denote by $\mathcal{O}$ containing the image of λ_f and a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_f$ of $\mathbf{T}$ such that λ_f factors through $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$. Let $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ be the algebraic closure of the residue field of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$.

Denote by S the set of finite primes ℓ such that U_ℓ is not a maximal compact open subgroup. Let $M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ be the étale cohomology group $H_{\text{et}}^1(X(U) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$. The $G_{\mathbb{Q}, S}$ -representation $(M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}, \rho_{\mathfrak{m}_f}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is the unique semi-simple representation satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \text{tr } \rho_{\mathfrak{m}_f}(\text{Fr}(\ell)) = T(\ell) \\ \det \rho_{\mathfrak{m}_f}(\text{Fr}(\ell)) = \ell < \ell > \end{cases} \quad (2.2.1)$$

for all $\ell \notin S$. In (2.2.1), Hecke operators are regarded as elements of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ through the injection of $\mathbf{T} \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ into a product of fields. The $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation attached to f is the quotient $(M(f), \rho_f, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ of $M_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ such that $\text{tr}(\rho_f) = \lambda_f$. The map $\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_f}) : G_{\mathbb{Q}, S} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ is a pseudocharacter of dimension 2 in the sense of [Wil88, Tay89, BC09]. We denote by $\text{tr}(\bar{\rho}_f) : G_{\mathbb{Q}, S} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}$ its reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_f$. If $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ is non-trivial, the second relation of (2.2.1) implies that $\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_f})(\tau) = 0$ hence, as $p \neq 2$, [Wil88, Proof of Lemma 2.2.3] shows that there exists a unique semi-simple residual representation

$$\bar{\rho}_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}, S} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}(\bar{T}(f))$$

whose trace is $\text{tr}(\bar{\rho}_f)$. For ℓ a rational prime, let $N_\ell(\bar{\rho}_f)$ be the Artin conductor of $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$ and let

$$N(\bar{\rho}_f) = \prod_{\ell \nmid p} N_\ell(\bar{\rho}_f).$$

be its tame global Artin conductor. Let $\Sigma \supset \{\ell | Np\}$ be a finite set of primes $\Sigma^p \cup \{p\}$.

Denote by $N(\Sigma)$ the integer

$$N(\Sigma) = N(\bar{\rho}_f) \prod_{\ell \in \Sigma^p} \ell^{\dim_k(\bar{\rho}_f)_{I_\ell}}.$$

2.2.2 Deformations

Henceforth, we make the following assumption.

Assumption 2.1. *The $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ -representation $\bar{\rho}_f$ is absolutely irreducible.*

Assumption 2.1 implies by [Nys96, Théorème 1] or [Rou96, Théorème 4.2] that to all $\Sigma \supset \{\ell | N(\bar{\rho}_f)p\}$ and all pseudocharacters $\text{tr}(\rho) : G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma} \rightarrow R$ of dimension 2 with values in a henselian separated ring R with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $\text{tr}(\rho) \bmod \mathfrak{m}$ is equal to $\text{tr}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ is attached a unique semi-simple representation $(T(\rho), \rho, R)$ whose trace is equal to $\text{tr}(\rho)$. In particular, it follows from (2.2.1) that for any discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ containing the image of λ_f , there exists a unique representation $(T, \rho, \mathcal{O})$ with $\text{tr}(\rho) = \lambda_f$ as well as a unique $(T_{\mathfrak{m}_f}, \rho_{\mathfrak{m}_f}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_f})$ whose trace is equal to $\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_f})$. As pointed out in [Car94], a choice of isomorphism $T_{\mathfrak{m}_f} \simeq \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^2$ identifies

$$H_{\text{et}}^1(X(U) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$$

with the square of an ideal $J \subset \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$. In general, J is not principal nor is it known to have finite projective dimension as $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ -module.

For $\Sigma \supset \{\ell | N(\bar{\rho}_f)p\}$, there exists a universal deformation $(T_{\Sigma}^u, \rho_{\Sigma}^u, R_{\Sigma}^u(\bar{\rho}_f))$ of the $G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma}$ -representation $\bar{\rho}_f$ in the sense of [Maz89]. The universal deformation ring $R_{\Sigma}^u(\bar{\rho}_f)$ admits quotients parametrizing deformations subjected to various supplementary conditions. We are particularly interested in the following cases.

Assumption 2.2. *The representation $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible but not scalar. Hence, it is either an extension*

$$\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}_1 & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2.2)$$

of two distinct characters $\bar{\chi}_1 \neq \bar{\chi}_2$ or a non-trivial extension

$$\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi} & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2.3)$$

of $\bar{\chi}$ by itself.

When $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ satisfies assumption 2.2, we say that $\bar{\rho}_f$ is nearly ordinary distinguished. When $\bar{\rho}_f|_{I_p}$ is moreover an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \bar{\rho}_f|_{I_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(-1) \rightarrow 0,$$

we say that $\bar{\rho}_f$ is nearly ordinary finite.

Definition 2.3. *Let $\bar{\rho}_f$ be a nearly ordinary distinguished representation and let A be an element of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F})$. For $\mu : I_p \rightarrow A^\times$ a character, a nearly ordinary distinguished deformation (T, ρ, A) of type μ is a deformation of $\bar{\rho}_f$ such that there exists a short exact sequence $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -representations*

$$0 \rightarrow \chi_1 \rightarrow \rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \rightarrow \chi_2 \rightarrow 0$$

with $\chi_1|_{I_p} = \mu$. If moreover $\bar{\rho}_f$ is nearly ordinary finite, a nearly ordinary finite deformation (T, ρ, A) is a nearly ordinary deformation of $\bar{\rho}_f$ with $\chi_1|_{I_p} = \chi_2(1)|_{I_p}$ and such that the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow A(1) \longrightarrow \rho|_{I_p} \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.2.4)$$

in $H^1(I_p, A(1))$ comes from a class in

$$\varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{ur}, \times} / (\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{ur}, \times})^{p^n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A \subset \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}, \times} / (\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}, \times})^{p^n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A \simeq H^1(I_p, A(1)).$$

There exists a universal deformation $(T_\Sigma^{\text{ord}}, \rho_\Sigma^{\text{ord}}, R_\Sigma^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_f))$ of nearly ordinary distinguished deformations of $\bar{\rho}_f$. For $(x, y, z) \in \{\emptyset, \text{fl}\} \times \{\emptyset, \mu\} \times \{\emptyset, \chi\}$, the ring $R_\Sigma^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ admits quotients $R_{\Sigma, y, x}^{\text{ord}, x}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ parametrizing deformations which are nearly ordinary finite if $x = \text{fl}$, of type μ if $y = \mu$ and of determinant $\mu^2\chi$ if $(y, z) = (\mu, \chi)$. If ρ is a nearly ordinary deformation of $\bar{\rho}_f$ of type μ , then $\rho \otimes \chi$ is a nearly ordinary deformation of $\bar{\rho}_f$ of type $\mu\chi$ if and only if χ is a deformation of the trivial character. Hence, $R_\Sigma^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ is isomorphic to $R_{\Sigma, \mu}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_f)[[\Gamma]]$ where we recall that Γ is the Galois group of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. If the image of $\lambda_f(T(p))$ under our fixed embedding of $\mathbb{C}$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is a p -adic unit, we say that f is p -ordinary (a condition that depends in general on our choice of $\iota_{\infty, p}$). When f is p -ordinary, $\rho_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \lambda_f \longrightarrow \rho_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \longrightarrow \lambda_f^{-1} \varepsilon \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{1-k} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\lambda_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ is the unramified character sending $\text{Fr}(p)$ to $\lambda_f(T(p))$. Hence, ρ_f is a nearly ordinary deformation of $\bar{\rho}_f$ with trivial type and there thus exists a unique $x \in \text{Spec } R_{\Sigma, \text{Id}, \varepsilon \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{k-1}}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ such that ρ_f is isomorphic to $\rho_x = \rho_{\Sigma, \text{Id}, \varepsilon \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{k-1}}^{\text{ord}} \bmod x$.

Assumption 2.4. *There exists a commutative finite flat p -torsion group scheme G over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and a character $\bar{\mu}$ such that $\bar{\rho}_f \otimes \bar{\mu}^{-1}$ is isomorphic as $\mathbb{F}[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$ -module to $(G \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)[p]$.*

When $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ satisfies assumption 2.4, we say that $\bar{\rho}_f$ is flat.

Definition 2.5. *Let $\bar{\rho}_f$ be a flat representation and let A be an element of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F})$. A flat deformation (T, ρ, A) of type $\mu : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \longrightarrow A^\times$ is a deformation of $\bar{\rho}_f$ such that $\det(\rho \otimes \mu^{-1})|_{I_p}$ is equal to χ_{cyc}^{-1} and such that for all $n \geq 1$ there exists a finite flat group scheme G over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with an A -action such that $\rho \otimes \mu^{-1} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_A^n$ is isomorphic to $(G \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)[\mathfrak{m}_A^n]$.*

By [Ram93, Theorem 1.1], there exists a universal deformation $(T_\Sigma^{\text{fl}}, \rho_\Sigma^{\text{fl}}, R_\Sigma^{\text{fl}}(\bar{\rho}_f))$ of flat deformations of $\bar{\rho}_f$. The ring $R_\Sigma^{\text{fl}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ admits a quotient $R_{\Sigma, \mu}^{\text{fl}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ parametrizing flat deformations with type μ and, as above, the fact that being a flat deformation is stable by twisting by a character deforming the identity implies that $R_\Sigma^{\text{fl}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ is isomorphic to $R_{\Sigma, \mu}^{\text{fl}}(\bar{\rho}_f)[[\Gamma]]$. A deformation ρ can be both nearly ordinary distinguished and flat, in which case it is nearly ordinary finite.

The deformation rings $R_{\Sigma, \mu}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ and $R_{\Sigma, \mu}^{\text{fl}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ are called minimal if Σ is equal to $N(\bar{\rho}_f)$. For the sake of completeness, we note that $R_{\Sigma, \mu, \psi}^{\text{ord}, \text{fl}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ (resp. $R_{\Sigma, \mu, \psi}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$) is minimal if $\bar{\rho}_f$ is nearly ordinary finite (resp. is nearly ordinary but not nearly ordinary finite) and Σ is equal to $N(\bar{\rho}_f)$ but we will not make use of this notion.

Assumption 2.6. *Let p^* be $(-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$. The $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*})}$ -representation $\bar{\rho}_f$ is absolutely irreducible.*

When $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumption 2.6 and is either nearly ordinary distinguished or flat, the following theorem of [Wil95, TW95] holds.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in S_k(U, \chi)$ be an eigencuspform. Assume $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumption 2.6 and either assumption 2.2 or 2.4. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the Hecke ring $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(U)_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ acting on $S_k(U, \chi)$ if $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumption 2.4 and let it be the ring generated by $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(U)_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ acting on $S_k(U, \chi)$ along with $T(p)$ if $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumption 2.2. Then $\mathbf{T}$ is a complete intersection ring of dimension 1 isomorphic to $R_{\Sigma, \text{Id}, \chi}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ or $R_{\Sigma, \text{Id}}^{\text{fl}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ depending on whether $\bar{\rho}_f$ is nearly ordinary distinguished or flat and $H_{\text{et}}^1(X(U) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ is free of rank 2 as $\mathbf{T}$ -module.*

Proof. This is part of output of the method of Taylor-Wiles systems in this setting; see [Wil95, TW95] for the original argument and [Dia96, Fuj99] for a compact statement of the results needed here. $\square$

While the isomorphism between Hecke rings and universal deformation ring is frequently considered the deepest statement of theorem 2.1, it is in fact the other two which are crucial in this manuscript. Under the hypotheses of theorem 2.1, it follows from the discussion above that $R_{\Sigma}^{\text{fl}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}[[\Gamma]]$ and that both these rings are complete intersection of dimension 2.

2.3 Motives attached to modular forms

Let $\bar{E}^{(k-2)}$ be the $(k-2)$ -fold fiber product of $\bar{E}$ with itself over $X(N)$. Let KS_k be the canonical desingularization of $\bar{E}^{(k-2)}$ constructed in [Del69] (see also [Sch90, Section 3]). The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{k-2}$ acts on $\bar{E}^{(k-2)}$ by permutations, the $(k-2)$ -th power of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ acts by translation and μ_2^{k-2} acts by inversion in the fibers. Let $\tilde{G}_{k-2}$ be the wreath product of $((\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2 \rtimes \mu_2)^{k-2}$ with $\mathfrak{S}_{k-2}$. Then $\tilde{G}_{k-2}$ acts by automorphisms on $\bar{E}^{(k-2)}$ and thus on KS_k . Let ε be the character of $\tilde{G}_{k-2}$ which is trivial on $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{2(k-2)}$, the product map on μ_2^{k-2} and signature on $\mathfrak{S}_{k-2}$. Let $\Pi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2Nk!}][\tilde{G}_{k-2}]$ be the projector attached to ε .

The category $CH(\mathbb{Q})$ of Chow motives is the pseudo-abelian envelope of the category of proper smooth schemes over $\mathbb{Q}$ with degree zero correspondences modulo rational equivalence as morphisms. A Chow motive is thus a pair (X, e) with $X/\mathbb{Q}$ proper and smooth and e a projector of $CH^{\dim X}(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The pair $(KS_k, \Pi_{\varepsilon})$ constructed above is thus a Chow motive. We denote it by $\mathcal{W}_N^{k-2}$ and its Betti (resp. étale) realization by ${}^B\mathcal{W}_N^{k-2}$ (resp. by ${}^{\text{et}}\mathcal{W}_N^{k-2}$). By [Sch90, Theorem 1.2.1], there is a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})]$ -modules

$${}^B\mathcal{W}_N^{k-2} = H^{k-1}(KS_k(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})(\varepsilon) \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} H^1(X(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \quad (2.3.1)$$

as well as a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_p[\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})]$ -modules

$${}^{\text{et}}\mathcal{W}_N^{k-2} = H_{\text{et}}^{k-1}(KS_k \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)(\varepsilon) \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} H_{\text{et}}^1(X(N) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_p). \quad (2.3.2)$$

For a number field L , a Grothendieck motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in L is an object in the category of motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ in which $\text{Hom}(h(X), h(Y))$ is the group of algebraic cycles on $X \times Y$ of codimension $\dim Y$ tensored over $\mathbb{Q}$ with L modulo homological equivalence. Fix a number field F containing all the eigenvalues of Hecke operators acting

on eigenforms in $S_k(U(N))$. The image of $\mathcal{W}_N^{k-2}$ in the category of Grothendieck motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in F decomposes under the action of the Hecke correspondences. Let $f \in S_k(U_1(N))$ be a newform and denote as before by λ_f the map sending a Hecke operator to the corresponding eigenvalues. Let $\mathcal{W}(f)$ be the largest Grothendieck submotive of $\mathcal{W}_N^{k-2}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in F on which $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(N)$ acts through λ_f . We denote by $\mathcal{W}(f)_B$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}(f)_{\text{dR}}$, resp. $\mathcal{W}(f)_{\text{et},p}$) the Betti (resp. de Rham, resp. p -adic étale) realization of $\mathcal{W}(f)$. The $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ -module $\mathcal{W}(f)_{\text{et},p}$ is isomorphic to $M(f)$.

2.4 Hida theory

Assume in this sub-section $f \in S_k(U_1(N))$ to be p -ordinary and let $\mathcal{O} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be a discrete valuation ring containing the image of λ_f . The diamond correspondences $\langle a \rangle$ with $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and a locally trivial outside p act on the tower of modular curves

$$X_1(Np^\infty) = \varprojlim_s X_1(Np^s).$$

Let $\Lambda_{\text{Hi}} = \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_{\text{Hi}}]] \simeq \mathcal{O}[[1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p]]$ be the completed group $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra of these correspondences. It is a complete local regular ring of dimension 2. Let γ be a topological generator of Γ_{Hi} . For $k \geq 2$ an integer and ε a finite order character of Γ_{Hi} factoring through $1 + p^{s+1}\mathbb{Z}_p$, an arithmetic point of weight k , level s and character ε of Λ_{Hi} is an $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \Lambda_{\text{Hi}} &\longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p \\ \gamma &\longmapsto \varepsilon(\gamma) \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{k-2}(\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

Here, γ is considered as an element of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ via the identification of Γ_{Hi} with the Galois group of the unique $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. If A is a finite Λ_{Hi} -algebra, an arithmetic point $\psi \in \text{Hom}(A, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ of A is an $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra morphism inducing an arithmetic point on Λ_{Hi} . If ϕ is an $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra map from Λ_{Hi} to $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, let $\mathcal{O}_\phi$ be the smallest discrete valuation ring containing the image of ϕ . If M is a Λ_{Hi} -module, we denote by $M[\phi]$ the quotient of M on which Λ acts through ϕ .

Let $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}^{\text{ord}}(N)$ be the inverse limit of ordinary Hecke algebras

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}^{\text{ord}}(N) = \varprojlim_s e^{\text{ord}} \mathfrak{h}(U_1(Np^s)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O} \quad (2.4.1)$$

where e^{ord} is Hida's projector

$$e^{\text{ord}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(p)^{n!}.$$

If M is a finite $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}(Np^s)$ -module, then we denote by M^{ord} the $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}^{\text{ord}}(N)$ -module $e^{\text{ord}} M$. Let the ordinary reduced Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red,ord}}(Np^s) \subset \mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}^{\text{ord}}(Np^s)$ be the sub $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra generated by the diamond operators, the Hecke operators $T(\ell)$ for ℓ such that $\ell \nmid Np$ and the Hecke operator $T(p)$. Let the ordinary new Hecke algebra be $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new,ord}}(Np^s)$. The Hecke algebras $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red,ord}}(N)$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new,ord}}(N)$ are the inverse limits of the $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red,ord}}(Np^s)$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new,ord}}(Np^s)$.

$$\mathbf{T}^{\text{red,ord}}(N) = \varprojlim_s e^{\text{ord}} \mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(U_1(Np^s)) \quad (2.4.2)$$

$$\mathbf{T}^{\text{new,ord}}(N) = \varprojlim_s e^{\text{ord}} \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U_1(Np^s)) \quad (2.4.3)$$

All these algebras are finitely generated as Λ_{Hi} -modules.

Consider the complex

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(X_1(Np^\infty) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O})^{\text{ord}} = \varprojlim_s \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(X_1(Np^s) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}(U_1(Np^s))} \mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}^{\text{ord}}(N).$$

As the action on $H^i(X_1(Np^\infty) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O})^{\text{ord}}$ for $i = 0, 2$ is by multiplication by p and is invertible, the only non-zero cohomology module of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(X_1(Np^\infty) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O})^{\text{ord}}$ is $M^{\text{ord}} = H^1(X_1(Np^\infty) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O})^{\text{ord}}$. As

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(X_1(Np^\infty) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O})^{\text{ord}} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\text{Hi}}, \phi}^{\text{L}} \mathcal{O}_\phi \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(X_1(Np^s) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O})^{\text{ord}}[\phi]$$

for ϕ an arithmetic point of Λ_{Hi} of weight 2 and level s with values in $\mathcal{O}_\phi$, the Λ_{Hi} -module M^{ord} is free of finite rank and satisfies

$$M^{\text{ord}} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\text{Hi}}, \phi} \mathcal{O}_\phi \simeq H_{\text{et}}^1(X_1(Np^s) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O}_\phi)^{\text{ord}}[\phi].$$

From this and the contraction isomorphism

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(X_1(Np^\infty) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O})^{\text{ord}} \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(X_1(Np^\infty) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O})^{\text{ord}}$$

for $k \geq 2$, it follows that

$$\mathbf{T} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\text{Hi}}, \phi} \mathcal{O}_\phi \simeq \mathbf{T}_k(Np^s)[\phi]. \quad (2.4.4)$$

for $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}^{\text{ord}}(N)$, $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^{\text{red, ord}}(N)$ or $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^{\text{new, ord}}(N)$ and ϕ an arithmetic point of weight $k \geq 2$ and level s . Moreover, if λ is an arithmetic prime of $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}^{\text{ord}}(N)$ above an arithmetic prime of Λ_{Hi} of weight k and level s , there exists a unique eigencuspform $g \in S_k(U_1(Np^s))$ such that λ_g extended to $\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}^{\text{ord}}(N)$ is equal to λ and hence such that

$$M^{\text{ord}} \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_{\text{cl}}^{\text{ord}}(N), \lambda} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p \simeq M(g)$$

as $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ -modules.

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be either $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red, ord}}(N)$ or $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new, ord}}(N)$. Then there exists a unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathbf{T}$ such that λ_f factors through $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. The complex

$$M_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ord}} = \varprojlim_s \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(X_1(Np^s) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}(U_1(Np^s))} \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

is concentrated in degree 1. There exists a pseudo-character $\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}) : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of dimension 2 of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that the composition of $\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}})$ with an arithmetic point λ_g is $\text{tr}(\rho_g)$ as defined in subsection 2.2. Recall that $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies the assumption 2.1. There thus exists a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation $(T_{\text{Hi}}, \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ unique up to isomorphism whose trace is $\text{tr}(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}})$ and which is characterized by

$$\begin{cases} \text{tr } \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Fr}(\ell)) = T(\ell) \\ \det \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Fr}(\ell)) = \ell < \ell > \end{cases} \quad (2.4.5)$$

for all $\ell \notin S$. By [Wil88, Hid89b], the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -representation T_{Hi} is reducible. If moreover $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumption 2.2, so if it is nearly ordinary distinguished, then T_{Hi} fits in a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\text{Hi}}^+ \rightarrow T_{\text{Hi}} \rightarrow T_{\text{Hi}}^- \rightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$ -modules free of positive ranks as $\mathbf{T}$ -modules and $M_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ord}}$ is isomorphic to T_{Hi} (and so is in particular free of rank 2 as $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module).

Proposition 2.7. *Assume that $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumptions 2.6 and 2.2. Let $\Sigma \supset \{\ell | Np\}$ be a finite set of primes and let $N(\Sigma)$ denote the integer of section 2.2. Then $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^{\text{red,ord}}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}[[\Gamma]]$ is a complete intersection ring of dimension 3 isomorphic to $R_{\Sigma}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$ and $M_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ord}} \otimes_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{T}[[\Gamma]]$ is a free $\mathbf{T}[[\Gamma]]$ -module of rank 2.*

Proof. Granted theorem 2.1, this follows from equation (2.4.4). See also [Böc01, Theorem 4.1] and [Fuj99, Corollary 11.5]. $\square$

3 The ETNC for modular motives

3.1 Nekovář-Selmer complexes, étale cohomology and the determinant functor

3.1.1 Review of the determinant functor

Let R be a commutative ring. A graded invertible module (P, r) is a pair formed with a projective R -module P of rank one and a locally constant map r from $\text{Spec } R$ to $\mathbb{Z}$. If (P_1, r) and (P_2, r) are graded invertible module with the same r , the statement that they are isomorphic is tautologically true. Consequently, we insist in this manuscript that any isomorphism between graded invertible modules be completely specified, and ideally canonical, that is to say independent of any choice beyond those incorporated in the definitions of (P_1, r) and (P_2, r) . Nevertheless, it is often the case that we can make this specification only up to a choice of a unit in R , in which case we say that (P_1, r) and (P_2, r) are isomorphic up to a choice of a unit.

The determinant functor Det_R of [KM76, Del87] is the functor

$$\text{Det}_R P = \left(\bigwedge_R^{\text{rank}_R P} P, \text{rank}_R P \right)$$

from the category of finite projective R -modules to the category of graded invertible R -modules (with morphisms restricted to isomorphisms). A perfect complex C of R -modules is an object in the derived category of R -modules represented by a bounded complex of projective R -modules of finite ranks. The determinant functor extends to a functor from the category of perfect complexes of R -modules with morphisms restricted to quasi-isomorphisms to the category of graded invertible R -modules by setting

$$\text{Det}_R C = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Det}_R^{(-1)^i} C^i \quad (3.1.1)$$

for any representation of C such that the C^i are projective of finite ranks. The determinant functor commutes with derived tensor product and there is a canonical isomorphism between $\text{Det}_R(0)$ and $(R, 0)$.

3.1.2 Nekovář-Selmer complexes and étale cohomology

Let $\mathbb{Q} \subset K \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let S_p be the set of primes of $\mathcal{O}_K$ over p . Let $U = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K[1/p]$ be the open subset of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ defined by $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K - S_p$. Let M be a finite p -torsion module with a continuous action of G_K and let $S \supset S_p$ be a finite set of finite primes of $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that M is a representation of $G_{K,S}$. Then M defines a locally constant étale sheaf M_{et} on $V = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K - S$.

A local condition at $v \in S$ is a pair $(C_\gamma^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M), i_v)$ where $C_\gamma^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M)$ is a bounded complex and $i_v : C_\gamma^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M) \rightarrow C^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M)$ is a morphism of complexes. Denote also by

$$i : C^\bullet(G_K, M) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} C^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M)$$

the direct sum of the localization maps at S and by ι the map

$$i - \bigoplus_{v \in S} i_v.$$

The Nekovář-Selmer complex $R\Gamma_\gamma(G_{K,S}, M)$ of M (see [Nek06]) attached to the local conditions $(C_\gamma^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M), i_v)$ for $v \in S$ is the complex

$$\text{Cone} \left(C^\bullet(G_{K,S}, M) \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in S} C_\gamma^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M) \xrightarrow{\iota} \bigoplus_{v \in S} C^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M) \right) [-1] \quad (3.1.2)$$

seen in the derived category. In a slight abuse of notations, we henceforth do not distinguish complexes and their images in the derived category so that we write $R\Gamma_\gamma(G_{K_v}, M)$ for $C_\gamma^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M)$ and likewise in all similar situations. Henceforth, we also systematically assume that $(C_\gamma^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M), i_v)$ is equal to $(C^\bullet(G_{K_v}, M), \text{Id}_v)$ for all $v \in S_p$.

When $R\Gamma_\gamma(G_{K_v}, T)$ is the zero complex for all $v \in S - S_p$, the attached Nekovář-Selmer complex is the complex of cohomology with compact support outside p

$$R\Gamma_c(G_{K,S}, M) = \text{Cone} \left(R\Gamma(G_{K,S}, M) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S \setminus S_p} R\Gamma(G_{K_v}, M) \right) [-1].$$

In the opposite direction, when $R\Gamma_\gamma(G_{K_v}, M)$ is equal to $R\Gamma(G_{K_v}, T)$ and i_v is the identity for all $v \in S$, the Nekovář-Selmer complex is the complex $R\Gamma(G_{K,S}, M)$ of continuous cochains with values in M . Particularly important in this manuscript is the Nekovář-Selmer complex attached to the unramified condition $R\Gamma(G_{K_v}, T^{I_v})$ at $v \nmid p$ with its natural map to $R\Gamma(G_{K_v}, M^{I_v})$ and to the relaxed condition $R\Gamma(G_{K_v}, T)$ at $v|p$. Explicitly, this is the complex:

$$\text{Cone} \left(R\Gamma(G_{K,S}, M) \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in S \setminus S_p} R\Gamma(G_{K_v}/I_v, M^{I_v}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S \setminus S_p} R\Gamma(G_{K_v}, M) \right) [-1]$$

We denote it by $R\Gamma_f(G_{K,S}, M)$. The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 3.1. *Let i be the inclusion $V = U - \{x \in S\} \hookrightarrow U$. There is a canonical isomorphism between $R\Gamma_f(G_{K,S}, M)$ and $R\Gamma_{\text{et}}(U, i_* M_{\text{et}})$.*

In the following, we need to consider étale sheaves of R -modules with R possibly of large Krull dimension. Though it is certainly well-known that the formalism of étale cohomology carries over to these rings (by taking inverse limits on n of truncated projective resolutions over $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ and using the fact that $R\Gamma_{\text{et}}(X, -)$ is a triangulated way-out functor) and thus that lemma 3.1 identifies $R\Gamma_f(G_{K,S}, M)$ with $R\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K[1/p], i_* M_{\text{et}})$ for all $G_{K,S}$ -representation M over R , this author found a published reference hard to

find. By contrast, all necessary results for Galois cohomology with coefficients in admissible modules can be found in [Nek06]. For this reason, the objects intervening in the ETNC are described in this manuscript using Galois cohomology and the careful reader may wish to consider the notation $R\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K[1/p], i_* M_{\text{et}})$, which we abbreviate as $R\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathcal{O}_K[1/p], M)$, as a placeholder for $R\Gamma_f(G_{K,S}, M)$ if deemed necessary.

If in addition to being a $G_{K,S}$ -representation, M is a perfect complex of R -modules, then so are $R\Gamma(G_{K,S}, M)$, $R\Gamma_c(G_{K,S}, M)$ and $R\Gamma(G_{K_v}, M)$ for all v . If M^{I_v} is moreover a perfect complex of R -modules for all $v \in S$, then $R\Gamma_f(G_{K,S}, M)$ is a perfect complex.

3.2 Integral lattices in the cohomology of modular curves

3.2.1 Integral lattices

In this sub-section is a local integral domain. Let (T, ρ, R) be a $G_{K,S}$ -representation of rank 2 and let $(V, \rho, \text{Frac}(R))$ be the representation obtained by tensor product with $\text{Frac}(R)$. Let $v \nmid p$ be a finite place of $\mathcal{O}_K$. If T^{I_v} is of rank one, assume that $\text{Fr}(v) - 1$ acts on V^{I_v} by multiplication by an element of R (this is of course always true if R is integrally closed or if T^{I_v} can be completed in a basis of T).

Definition 3.2. *The graded invertible module $\mathcal{X}_v(T)$ is defined as follows.*

$$\mathcal{X}_v(T) = \begin{cases} \text{Det}_R R\Gamma(G_{K_v}/I_v, T^{I_v}) & \text{if } \text{rank}_R T^{I_v} \neq 1. \\ \text{Det}_R [R \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}(v)-1} R] & \text{if } \text{rank}_R T^{I_v} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here the complex $[R \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}(v)-1} R]$ is placed in degree 0, 1.

The module $\mathcal{X}_v(T)$ recovers the determinant of the unramified cohomology of T when both are defined and is compatible with change of rings provided the rank of inertia invariants remains constant in the sense of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *If T^{I_v} is a perfect complex of R -modules, then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{X}_v(T) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \text{Det}_R R\Gamma(G_{K_v}/I_v, T^{I_v}).$$

If $R \rightarrow R'$ is a local morphism of integral domains such that

$$\text{rank}_{R'}(T \otimes_R R')^{I_v} = \text{rank}_R T^{I_v}$$

then $\mathcal{X}_v(T) \otimes_R R'$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_v(T \otimes_R R')$.

Proof. If T^{I_v} is a perfect complex of R -modules, then so is $R\Gamma(G_{K_v}/I_v, T^{I_v})$. Hence $\text{Det}_R R\Gamma(G_{K_v}/I_v, T^{I_v})$ is well-defined. The first assertion of the lemma is non-tautological only if $\text{rank}_R T^{I_v} = 1$. In that case, a finite projective resolution of T^{I_v} yields a projective resolution of $(\text{Fr}(v) - 1)T^{I_v}$ and computing $\text{Det}_R(\text{Fr}(v) - 1)T^{I_v} \otimes_R \text{Det}^{-1} T^{I_v}$ using these resolutions yields the desired result. If $\text{rank}_R T^{I_v} = 0$, then both $\mathcal{X}_v(T) \otimes_R R'$ and $\mathcal{X}_v(T \otimes_R R')$ are canonically isomorphic to $(R', 0)$. If $\text{rank}_R T^{I_v} = 1$, they are both canonically isomorphic to $\text{Det}_{R'}[R' \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}(v)-1} R']$. If $\text{rank}_R T^{I_v} = 2$, then both T and $T \otimes_R R'$ are unramified so the canonical isomorphism

$$R\Gamma(G_{K_v}/I_v, T^{I_v}) \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_R R' \xrightarrow{\text{can}} R\Gamma(G_{K_v}/I_v, T \otimes_R R')$$

yields the result after taking determinant. The second assertion is thus true. $\square$

Let T be a $G_{K,S}$ -representation such that $\mathcal{X}_v$ is defined for all $v \nmid p$.

Definition 3.4. *The graded invertible R -module $\mathcal{X}(T)$ is defined to be:*

$$\mathrm{Det}_R R\Gamma_c(G_{K,S}, T) \otimes_R \bigotimes_{v \in S \setminus S_p} \mathcal{X}_v(T)$$

We recall that the subscript c denotes cohomology compactly supported outside p . Though $\mathcal{X}(T)$ has *a priori* no special relevance for an arbitrary T , note that by construction there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{X}(T) \stackrel{\mathrm{can}}{\simeq} \mathrm{Det}_R^{-1} R\Gamma_f(G_{K,S}, T) \stackrel{\mathrm{can}}{\simeq} \mathrm{Det}_R^{-1} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathcal{O}_K[1/p], T) \quad (3.2.1)$$

whenever all the objects appearing in (3.2.1) are well defined.

3.2.2 The Weight-Monodromy conjecture for modular motives

Let $f \in S_k(U)$ be a newform and denote by $\mathbf{T}$ the new Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{new}}(U)$. Let $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ be a minimal prime ideal. Let $R(\mathfrak{a})$ be the domain $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_f}/\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathrm{Frac}(R(\mathfrak{a}))$ its field of fraction. The pseudo-character $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_f})$ modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ has values in $R(\mathfrak{a})$ so there exists a $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ -representation $(V, \rho_{\mathfrak{m}_f}, \mathrm{Frac}(R(\mathfrak{a})))$ whose trace is $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_f}) \bmod \mathfrak{a}$, and hence a $G_{K,S}$ -representation with the same properties by restriction.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\ell \nmid p$ be a finite place. Let $T \subset V$ be a sub-representation with coefficients in $R(\mathfrak{a})$. Then $\mathcal{X}_\ell(T)$ is well defined and there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{X}_\ell(T) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a}), \lambda} \mathcal{O} \stackrel{\mathrm{can}}{\simeq} \mathcal{X}_\ell(T \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a}), \lambda} \mathcal{O}) \quad (3.2.2)$$

for all modular map $\lambda : R(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$.

Proof. When V^{I_ℓ} is one-dimensional, the compatibility between the local and global Langlands correspondence at ℓ implies that $\det(1 - \mathrm{Fr}(\ell)X | V^{I_\ell}) = 1 - T(\ell)X$. So the eigenvalue α_ℓ of $\mathrm{Fr}(\ell) - 1$ on V^{I_ℓ} is an element of $R(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathcal{X}_\ell(T)$ is well-defined.

Let $\lambda : R(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a modular map (so $\mathcal{O}$ is a discrete valuation ring in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$) and let $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $V_{\mathcal{O}}$ denote respectively $T \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a}), \lambda} \mathcal{O}$ and $T_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes \mathrm{Frac}(\mathcal{O})$. By the second assertion of lemma 3.3, it is enough to prove that $\mathrm{rank}_{R(\mathfrak{a})} T^{I_\ell}$ is larger than $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} T_{\mathcal{O}}^{I_\ell}$.

Non-zero elements of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are not in the kernel of λ so if $\sigma \in I_\ell$ acts on V non-trivially through a finite quotient, then its action is also non-trivial on $T_{\mathcal{O}}$. It is thus enough to prove that $\mathrm{rank}_{R(\mathfrak{a})} T^U$ is larger than $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} T_{\mathcal{O}}^U$ for U a finite index subgroup of I_ℓ . By Grothendieck's monodromy theorem [ST68, Page 515], we can choose U such that V^U is quasi-unipotent, in which case $\mathrm{rank}_{R(\mathfrak{a})} T^U$ is at least 1 and is exactly 1 if the monodromy operator is of rank 1. Because the representation $V_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a pure $G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -module by Ramanujan's conjecture (proved for modular forms in [Car86, Théorème A]), the eigenvalues of a lift σ of $\mathrm{Fr}(\ell)$ acting on V^U or $V_{\mathcal{O}}^U$ are all non zero. If the action of U on T is trivial, the action on $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ is also trivial and we are done. If monodromy acts non trivially, the quotient of the eigenvalues of σ acting on V^U is well-defined and equal to $\ell^{\pm 1}$. The eigenvalues of σ on $V_{\mathcal{O}}^U$ then have different Weil weights so there is a non-trivial, hence necessarily rank 1, monodromy operator acting on $V_{\mathcal{O}}^U$. The rank of $T_{\mathcal{O}}^U$ is then at most 1, and so is less than $\mathrm{rank}_{R(\mathfrak{a})} T^U$. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be a finite extension and let K_∞ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension of K with Galois group Γ . Let R be the completed group algebra $R(\mathfrak{a})[[\Gamma]]$. Any $G_{K,S}$ -representation $(T, \rho, R(\mathfrak{a}))$ gives rise to a representation $(T \otimes \chi, \rho \otimes \chi, R)$ with the $G_{K,S}$ -action on R given by the character χ equal to the projection onto Γ followed by inclusion in R . Let $\lambda : R(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow S$ be a modular specialization. Then λ extends as a map of flat $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras from R to S by sending Γ to $\{1\}$ through the trivial morphism. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow A$, $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ and $\pi : B \rightarrow S$ be morphisms of flat $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras between domains making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & S \\ \phi \downarrow & & \uparrow \pi \\ A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B \end{array}$$

commute. For $x \in \{\phi, \psi \circ \phi\}$, let T_x be the representation whose trace is $x(\text{tr}(T \otimes \chi))$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{X}(T_\phi) \otimes_{A,\psi} B \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} \mathcal{X}(T_{\psi \circ \phi}).$$

This corollary applies in particular to ϕ or ψ equal to the identity.

Proof. This reduces to the existence of a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{X}_\ell(T_\phi) \otimes_{A,\psi} B \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} \mathcal{X}_\ell(T_{\psi \circ \phi})$$

for all $\ell \in S - S_p$ and hence, by lemma 3.3, to the statement that $\text{rank}_A T_\phi^{I_\ell}$ is equal to $\text{rank}_B T_{\psi \circ \phi}^{I_\ell}$. As these ranks are both greater than $\text{rank}_R (T \otimes \chi)^{I_\ell}$ and smaller than $\text{rank}_S T^{I_\ell}$, it is enough to prove that $\text{rank}_R (T \otimes \chi)^{I_\ell} = \text{rank}_S T^{I_\ell}$. Because K_∞/K is unramified outside p by [Iwa73, Theorem 1], $(T \otimes \chi)^{I_\ell}$ is equal to $T^{I_\ell} \otimes \chi$ and so $\text{rank}_R (T \otimes \chi)^{I_\ell}$ is equal to $\text{rank}_{R(\mathfrak{a})} T^{I_\ell}$ and thus to $\text{rank}_S T^{I_\ell}$ by proposition 3.5. $\square$

3.3 Review of the ETNC with coefficients in Λ

3.3.1 Λ -adic representation

Let $f \in S_k(U_1(N))$ be a newform whose eigenvalues are contained in a number field F . Fix an integer $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ and let M be the motive with coefficients in F equal to the Tate twist $\mathcal{W}(f)(s)$ of the motive $\mathcal{W}(f)$ of subsection 2.3. We denote respectively by M_B , M_{dR} and $M_{\text{et},p}$ the Betti, de Rham and p -adic étale realizations of M . Let $\mathfrak{p}|p$ be a finite place of F , let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathbb{F}$ its residue field. For $\Sigma \supset \{\ell | Np\}$, let $(V(f), \rho_f, F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be the $G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma}$ -representation given by $M_{\text{et},p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $(T(f), \rho_f, \mathcal{O})$ be a $G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma}$ -stable $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice inside $V(f)$ and $(\bar{T}(f), \bar{\rho}_f, \mathbb{F})$ be the residual representation attached to $T(f)$.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbb{Q}_m$ be the sub-extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{m+1}})/\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group G_m isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$. Recall that $\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}$ is the the unique $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, hence the union of the $\mathbb{Q}_m$ for all m , and that Γ is $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$. Let Γ_m be $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_m)$. Let Λ be the completed group algebra $\mathcal{O}[[\Gamma]]$, a complete regular local ring of dimension 2. The canonical surjection of $G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma}$ onto $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$ followed by injection in $\Lambda^\times$ defines a $G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma}$ -representation $(\Lambda, \chi_\Gamma, \Lambda)$ which we also denote by Λ in a slight abuse of notation and which is the universal deformation of the trivial $\mathbb{F}$ -representation unramified outside p . For R a complete local noetherian $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra, let R_{lw} be $R[[\Gamma]]$. If (T, ρ, R)

is a $G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma}$ -representation, let $(T_{\text{Iw}}, \rho \otimes \chi_{\Gamma}, R_{\text{Iw}})$ be the $G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma}$ -representation $T \otimes_R R[[\Gamma]]$ with $G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma}$ -action on both sides of the tensor product. More generally, the $R[G_m]$ -module $T \otimes_R R[G_m]$ is always understood to have an action of $G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma}$ on both sides of the tensor product whenever it is regarded as a $G_{\mathbb{Q},\Sigma}$ -representation. In a slight abuse of notation, we denote by $(V(f)_{\text{Iw}}, \rho \otimes \chi_{\Gamma}, \Lambda[1/p])$ the representation $T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Lambda[1/p]$.

The étale cohomology complex $R\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}})$ is a complex of finite Λ -modules, necessarily perfect as Λ is a regular local ring, whose cohomology is concentrated in $[0, 3]$. Let S be a flat $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra and let $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras. Functoriality of cochain complexes and the fact that Λ is unramified outside p imply that there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda, \phi}^L S &\simeq R\Gamma(G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S) \text{ for all } \ell \nmid \infty, \\ R\Gamma(G_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma}, T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda, \phi}^L S &\simeq R\Gamma(G_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma}, T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S), \\ R\Gamma(G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}/I_\ell, T(f)_{\text{Iw}}^{I_\ell}) \otimes_{\Lambda, \phi}^L S &\simeq R\Gamma(G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}/I_\ell, T(f)^{I_\ell}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3.1)$$

which together yield a canonical isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda, \phi}^L S \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S)$$

of perfect complexes of S -modules. In particular, the projection $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_m$ induces a canonical isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda}^L \mathcal{O}[G_m] \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}[G_m]).$$

for all integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.3.2 Review of the construction of Kato's Euler system

We briefly review the construction and fundamental properties of several elements in the cohomology and K -theory of modular curves which were constructed in [Kat04]. As we follow closely [Kat04], the reader might find it convenient to keep a copy of this article at hand while reading 3.3.2, 3.3.3 and 3.3.4.

Eisenstein Euler systems First, analytic elements

$$_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{M,N}(k, r) \in \mathcal{M}_k(U(M, L))$$

are constructed from Eisenstein series in [Kat04, Section 4] (they are denoted there $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{M,N}(k, r, r')$ and our $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{M,N}(k, r)$ is $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{M,N}(k, r, k-1)$). Here:

- $1 \leq r \leq k-1$ and if $r = k-2$ then $M \geq 2$.
- $(c, M) = (d, L) = 1$.

The crucial characteristic property of these elements is that they are the evaluation on $U(M, N)$ of a unique algebraic distribution $\mathbf{z}_{\text{Eis}}(k, r)$ on $M_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(\infty)})$ with values in $\mathcal{M}_k(U(M, N))$ (see [Col04]). Choose integers $m \geq 1$, M and L such that $m|M$, $M|L$ and $N|L$ and consider the morphisms of schemes

$$Y(L) \rightarrow Y(M, L) \rightarrow Y_1(N) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m). \quad (3.3.2)$$

In [Kat04, Section 5.2], elements $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{1,N,m}(k, r, \xi, S)$ are defined by taking the images of $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{M,N}(k, r)$ under twisted trace maps from $\mathcal{M}_k(U(M, L))$ to $\mathcal{M}_k(U_1(N)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$. Here, S denotes the set of primes dividing L . Importantly, these elements are independent of the choice of L in (3.3.2). As the elements $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{1,N,m}(k, r, \xi, S)$ are linear combinations of Eisenstein series, the Rankin-Selberg method of [Shi76, Shi78] relates them to critical special values of the universal L -function of the modular curve; see [Kat04, Theorem 5.6]. The essential property for our purpose is that the $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{1,N,m}(k, r, \xi, S)$ are related with special values of the universal L -function with Euler factors at the primes in S removed.

p -adic Euler systems On the other hand, p -adic elements denoted

$$_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{p^n}^{(p)}(f, k, j, \alpha, \text{prime}(pN)) \in H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \zeta_{p^n}], V(f)(k - 2s)) \quad (3.3.3)$$

are constructed from Siegel units in [Kat04, Section 2 and section 8]. Here:

- f is a newform in $S_k(U_1(N))$.
- (c, d) are integers different from ± 1 , congruent to 1 modulo N and such that cd is prime to $6p$.
- $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$.
- α belongs to $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

As in the case of the $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{M,N}(k, r)$, these elements are related to evaluations of algebraic distributions but in a much more complex way involving the Chern class map. The elements $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{p^n}^{(p)}(f, k, j, \alpha, \text{prime}(pN))$ for varying n then form a projective system for corestriction; see [Kat04, Section 8].

Relations between analytic and p -adic Euler systems Let Y be $Y_1(N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ and let X be the smooth compactification of Y . Let $M_{Y,et}$ be the p -adic étale cohomology group:

$$M_{Y,et} = H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_p)(k - r)$$

As recalled below, there exists a dual exponential map

$$\exp^* : H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, M_{Y,et}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_k(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Localizing cohomology at p on the left-hand side yields a map

$$\exp_Y^* : H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \zeta_m], M_{Y,et}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_k(X_1(N)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p \quad (3.3.4)$$

which sends $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{1,N,m}^{(p)}(k, r, r', \xi, S)$ to $_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{1,N,m}(k, r, \xi, S) \in \mathcal{M}_k(X_1(N)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ by [Kat04, Theorem 9.6]. In particular, this image, which is $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational by construction, is actually $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational.

3.3.3 Euler systems with coefficients in Λ

We review briefly the construction and important properties of a remarkable non-zero $\Lambda[1/p]$ -linear morphism

$$Z(f) : M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda[1/p] \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \quad (3.3.5)$$

whose existence is asserted in [Kat04, Theorem 12.5]. For suitable choices of j_1, j_2 and α_1, α_2 as above, a particular basis

$$(\delta_1, \delta_2) = (\delta(f, j_1, \alpha_1)^+, \delta(f, j_2, \alpha_2)^-) \in M_B^2$$

of M_B is defined in [Kat04, Section 4.7 and 13.9]. Let

$$\gamma = b_1 \delta(f, j_1, \alpha_1)^+ + b_2 \delta(f, j_2, \alpha_2)^-$$

be an element of $M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda[1/p]$. The element

$$Z(f)(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \mu_i^{-1} b_i \left(c, d \mathbf{z}_{p^n}^{(p)}(f, k, j, \alpha, \text{prime}(pN)) \right)_{n \geq 1}^{(-1)^i} \quad (3.3.6)$$

is then a linear combination of the $\mathbf{z}_{p^n}$ with coefficients in $\text{Frac}(\Lambda)$. The coefficients μ_i involve the inverse in $\text{Frac}(\Lambda)$ of the Euler factors of the dual newform f^* at primes $\ell \nmid p$ dividing N (see [Kat04, Page 229] for the precise definition). It is shown in [Kat04, Section 13.9, 13.12] that $Z(f)(\gamma)$, which *a priori* depends on the choices of $c, d, j_1, j_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ and has coefficients in $\text{Frac}(\Lambda)$, is independent of all choices and belongs to $H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f)_{\text{Iw}})$.

We restrict $Z(f)$ to $(M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda[1/p])^+$. The source of $Z(f)$ is then a complex of $\Lambda[1/p]$ -modules concentrated in degree 0 and its target is the first cohomology group of $\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f)_{\text{Iw}})$. Lifting the image re-interprets $Z(f)$ as a morphism of complexes

$$Z(f) : (M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda[1/p])^+[-1] \longrightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f)_{\text{Iw}}). \quad (3.3.7)$$

Under assumption 2.1, [Kat04, Theorem 12.5 (4)] states that the image of $Z(f)$ actually lies in $H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}})$. Hence, there is a Λ -adic version of $Z(f)$:

$$Z(f) : (M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)^+[-1] \longrightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}}). \quad (3.3.8)$$

More accurately, the statement about the image of $Z(f)$ is proved in [Kat04, Theorem 12.5 (4)] under the slightly different assumptions that $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is included in the image of ρ_f ; as this stronger statement is only used in the proof of [Kat04, Theorem 12.5 (4)] given in [Kat04, 13.14] to show that all lattices inside $V(f)$ are isomorphic, assumption 2.1 is also sufficient to deduce the result.

Definition 3.7. *Let $\Delta_{\Lambda[1/p]}(V(f)_{\text{Iw}})$ and $\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}})$ be respectively the graded invertible $\Lambda[1/p]$ -module $\text{Det}_{\Lambda[1/p]} \text{Cone } Z(f)$ and the graded invertible Λ -module $\text{Det}_{\Lambda} \text{Cone } Z(f)$ under assumption 2.1. Let $\mathbf{z}(f)$ denote a $\Lambda[1/p]$ -basis of $\Delta_{\Lambda[1/p]}(V(f)_{\text{Iw}})$ or a Λ -basis of $\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}})$ under assumption 2.1.*

The exact definition of $\mathbf{z}(f)$ above involves a specific choice of unit in $\Lambda[1/p]$. Yet, for convenience, we sometimes refer to $\mathbf{z}(f)$ without mentioning explicitly this choice in the following. The exact choice of unit, though unimportant for our purpose, is made in proposition 3.8.

Let Z denote the non-zero Λ -submodule of $H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}})$ equal to the image of $Z(f)$. As $(M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)^+$ is a free Λ -module of rank 1, Z is also free of rank 1. By [Kat04, Theorem 12.4], the Λ -modules

$$H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}}), \quad H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}})/Z$$

are torsion. The complex $(\text{Cone } Z(f)) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$ is thus acyclic and there are a canonical isomorphisms

$$\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \text{Det}_{\text{Frac}(\Lambda)}(0) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \text{Frac}(\Lambda). \quad (3.3.9)$$

It follows that $\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$ comes with two specified Λ -submodules. The first one is the pre-image of $\Lambda \subset \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$, or equivalently of $\text{Det}_{\Lambda}(0) \subset \text{Det}_{\text{Frac}(\Lambda)}(0)$, under the isomorphisms of (3.3.9). The second one is $\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}})$. Localizing at grade 1 primes and using the structure theorem for modules over discrete valuation rings shows that the image of $\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}})$ in $\text{Frac}(\Lambda)$ through the isomorphisms of (3.3.9) is the characteristic ideal

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda}^{-1} H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{char}_{\Lambda} H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}})/Z. \quad (3.3.10)$$

3.3.4 Zeta elements for $M \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_m$

Let N be a motive over $\mathbb{Q}$. As recalled in the introduction, the ETNC at p with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}[G_m]$ or Λ of [Kat93a, Conjecture 4.9] and [Kat93b, Conjecture 3.2.2] are far-reaching conjectures predicting the existence for all m of specific $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_m]$ -bases of $\text{Det}_{\mathbb{Q}_p[G_m]} \text{R} \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], (N \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_m)_{\text{et}, p})$, called zeta elements, which are intimately linked with the p -adic valuations of the special values of the L -function of $N \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_m$ together with its natural action of G_m as well as a universal zeta element, that is to say a Λ -basis of $\text{Det}_{\Lambda[1/p]} \text{R} \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], (N \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\infty})_{\text{et}, p})$ interpolating the zeta elements for finite m .

In that degree of generality, the existence of most of the objects necessary to even state the conjecture is itself already conjectural. In the case of the motive $M \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_m$, however, all the necessary objects are known to exist unconditionally. Nevertheless, even in that case, there is an inherent tension in the presentation of the material, as the logical order of exposition is quite different from the logical order of proof of the known results. Experts will know, for instance, that the precise definition of the zeta elements requires first the construction of families of almost zeta elements, then showing that they form Euler systems, then using the method of Euler systems to show the finiteness of some cohomology groups and only then exploiting this extra knowledge to exactly pinpoint the actual zeta elements. In the following, we proceed as if all theorems were known to hold simultaneously, so that the bibliographic references we give are strictly speaking logically incoherent, and explain in which sense the element $\mathbf{z}(f)$ of the previous subsection is compatible with the statement of the ETNC for the motive $M \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ when $s \neq k/2$ and for almost all m when $s = k/2$.

Recall that $f \in S_k(U_1(N))$ and that f^* is the eigenform whose eigenvalues are the complex conjugate of those of f . Let ε be the finite order character of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ such that $\langle a \rangle f = \varepsilon(a)f$. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer. For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Q})$, let $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$ be the set of rational primes $\ell \nmid p$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Q}}{\ell} \right) = \sigma$$

where $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Q}}{\cdot}\right)$ is the Artin reciprocity map. The σ -partial L -value of f^* is the evaluation at s of the meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ of the Euler product

$$L_{\{p\}}^{G_m}(f^*, \sigma, z) = \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma} \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a}_\ell(f)\ell^{-z} + \bar{\varepsilon}(\ell)\ell^{1-2z}}$$

and the G_m -equivariant L -value $L_{\{p\}}^{G_m}(f^*, s)$ is the sum.

$$L_{\{p\}}^{G_m}(f^*, \cdot) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{s+1-k} \sum_{\sigma \in G_m} L_{\{p\}}^{G_m}(f^*, \sigma, s) \sigma \in \mathbb{C}[G_m].$$

It is the unique element of $\mathbb{C}[G_m]$ such that, for all $\chi \in \widehat{G}_m$

$$\chi(L_{\{p\}}^{G_m}(f^*, s)) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{s+1-k} L_{\{p\}}(f^*, \chi, s).$$

According to [JS77, Theorem] (resp. [Roh88, Theorem I]), the element $L_{\{p\}}^{G_m}(f^*, s)$ is non-zero if $s \neq k/2$ (resp. is non-zero if $s = k/2$ except possibly for a finite number of m). For m outside the finite or empty set such that $L_{\{p\}}^{G_m}(f, s)$ vanishes, denote by $\Delta_{F_p[G_m]}(V(f)_m)$ the $F_p[G_m]$ -module $\Delta_{\Lambda[1/p]}(V(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda[1/p]} F_p[G_m]$. Let M_m^+ be the Betti cohomology group $(M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_p[G_m])^+$. There is then a canonical isomorphism of $F_p[G_m]$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{c} \Delta_{F_p[G_m]}(V(f)_m) \\ \downarrow \simeq^{\text{can}} \\ \text{Det}_{F_p[G_m]} \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f) \otimes_{F_p} F_p[G_m]) \otimes_{F_p[G_m]} (\text{Det}_{F_p[G_m]} M_m^+). \end{array} \quad (3.3.11)$$

Let $\mathbf{z}(f, G_m)$ be the image of $\mathbf{z}(f)$ inside $\Delta_{F_p[G_m]}(V(f)_m)$. According to [Kat04, Theorem 12.5 (1)], the element $\mathbf{z}(f, G_m)$ is non-zero. Moreover, by [Kat04, Theorem 14.5 (1)], the cohomology of $\text{R} \Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f) \otimes_{F_p} F_p[G_m])$ is then concentrated in degree 1 and $H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f) \otimes_{F_p} F_p[G_m])$ is of rank 1 over $F_p[G_m]$. Consequently:

$$\text{Det}_{F_p[G_m]} \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f) \otimes_{F_p} F_p[G_m]) = \text{Det}_{F_p[G_m]}^{-1} H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f) \otimes_{F_p} F_p[G_m])$$

Composing this isomorphism with localization at p

$$H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f) \otimes_{F_p} F_p[G_m]) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, V(f) \otimes_{F_p} F_p[G_m])$$

and by the natural map to $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^m})}, V(f))$ given by Shapiro's lemma yields a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Det}_{F_p[G_m]} \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f) \otimes_{F_p} F_p[G_m]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Det}_{F_p[G_m]}^{-1} H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^m})}, V(f)). \quad (3.3.12)$$

For K a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and V a p -adic representation of G_K , let $D_{\text{dR}}^0(V)$ be $H^0(G_K, B_{\text{dR}}^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)$. There exists a canonical map

$$\exp^* : H^1(G_K, V) \longrightarrow D_{\text{dR}}^0(V) \quad (3.3.13)$$

called the dual exponential map from $H^1(G_K, V)$ to $D_{\text{dR}}^0(V)$. When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^m})$ and $V = V(f)$, the dual exponential map yields a map from $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^m})}, V(f))$ to

$$D_{\text{dR}}^0(V(f)) = D_{\text{dR}}^s(M(f)) = S_k(U_1(N))(f) \otimes_F F_{\mathfrak{p}}[G_m].$$

See for instance [Kat04, Section 11] for the last equality above. Composing (3.3.12) with (3.3.13) thus yields a map

$$\text{Det}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}[G_m]} \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f) \otimes_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} F_{\mathfrak{p}}[G_m]) \longrightarrow (\text{Det}_F^{-1} S_k(U_1(N))(f)) \otimes_F F_{\mathfrak{p}}[G_m]. \quad (3.3.14)$$

According to [Kat04, Theorem 12.5], the image of $\mathbf{z}(f, G_m)$ in

$$(\text{Det}_F^{-1} S_k(U_1(N))(f)) \otimes_F F_{\mathfrak{p}}[G_m] \otimes (\text{Det}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}[G_m]} M_m^+)$$

through (3.3.14) actually belongs to the $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational subspace

$$\left(\text{Det}_{\mathbb{Q}[G_m]}^{-1} S_k(U_1(N))(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[G_m] \right) \otimes (\text{Det}_{\mathbb{Q}[G_m]} (M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[G_m])^+).$$

This fundamental rationality property is the algebraic equivalent of the $\mathbb{Q}_m$ -equivariant rationality of special values of L -functions as in [Del79]. In this setting, it is a consequence of the rationality property noted at the end of section 3.3.2. There is a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[G_m]$ -modules

$$\text{per}_{\mathbb{C}[G_m]} : S_k(X_1(N))(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}[G_m] \longrightarrow (H^1(X_1(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2})(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}[G_m])^+$$

as well as an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces

$$[(2\pi i)^s H^1(X_1(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2})(f)]^+ \simeq M_B^+. \quad (3.3.15)$$

Composing (3.3.14) with tensor product with $\mathbb{C}$, the isomorphism (3.3.15) and finally with the period map thus yields maps

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Z}[G_m] \mathbf{z}(f, G_m) \\ \downarrow \\ \left(\text{Det}_{\mathbb{Q}[G_m]}^{-1} S_k(U_1(N))(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[G_m] \right) \otimes \text{Det}_{\mathbb{Q}[G_m]} (M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[G_m])^+ \\ \downarrow - \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \\ \left(\text{Det}_{\mathbb{C}[G_m]}^{-1} S_k(U_1(N))(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}[G_m] \right) \otimes \text{Det}_{\mathbb{C}[G_m]} (M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}[G_m])^+ \\ \downarrow \text{per}_{\mathbb{C}[G_m]} \\ \mathbb{C}[G_m] \end{array} \quad (3.3.16)$$

and thus defines a $\mathbb{Z}[G_m]$ -lattice inside $\mathbb{C}[G_m]$. The basis $\mathbf{z}(f)$ is characterized by the following fundamental property.

Proposition 3.8. *There exists a choice of unit of Λ and a corresponding choice of $\mathbf{z}(f)$ in definition 3.7 such that the image of $\mathbf{z}(f)$ inside $\mathbb{C}[G_m]$ through (3.3.11), (3.3.14) and (3.3.16) is the G_m -equivariant special L -value $L_{\{p\}}^{G_m}(f^*, s)$.*

Proof. This is [Kat04, Theorem 12.5 (1)]. See especially [Kat04, Section 13.12] for the proof. $\square$

As we remarked already, the full strength of proposition 3.8 is not used in this article and it would have been enough for our purpose to choose $\mathbf{z}(f)$ up to a unit in Λ . Philosophically speaking, this stems from the fact that we are only interested in the ETNC at p , whereas the full ETNC actually predicts the existence of motivic zeta elements whose image in the ℓ -adic étale cohomology realization provide ℓ -adic zeta elements for all primes ℓ .

3.3.5 The ETNC for $M \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ at p

In the previous two subsections, we have seen that there are two canonical Λ -lattices inside $\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$: the lattice $\text{Det}_{\Lambda}(0)$ coming from functoriality of determinants and the lattice $\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}})$ which is characterized (though not defined) as the pre-image of the special values of the L -function of the dual of M . One possible formulation of the ETNC for $M \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ at p is then that these two lattices coincide.

Conjecture 3.9. *There is an identity of Λ -lattices*

$$\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) = \text{Det}_{\Lambda}(0) \quad (3.3.17)$$

inside $\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$.

Equivalently, the two natural Λ -bases of $\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$ described in subsection 3.3.3 coincide.

Definition 3.10. *Let $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow S$ be a local morphism from Λ to one of its $\mathcal{O}$ -flat quotient such that the image of $Z(f)$ under ϕ_* is non-zero. Define $\Delta_S(T(f)_{\text{Iw}} \otimes_{\Lambda} S)$ to be the graded invertible S -module $\text{Det}_S \text{Cone } Z(f)$ where*

$$Z(f) : (M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S)^+[-1] \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S)$$

is viewed as a morphism of S -modules. Define $\Delta_{S[1/p]}(V(f)_{\text{Iw}} \otimes_{\Lambda} S)$ to be the graded invertible $S[1/p]$ -module $\text{Det}_S \text{Cone } Z(f)$ where

$$Z(f) : (M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S[1/p])^+[-1] \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S)$$

is viewed as a morphism of $S[1/p]$ -modules.

Just as the equality (3.3.17) is a possible formulation for the ETNC for $M \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$, a possible formulation of the ETNC for $M \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_n$ at p is as follows.

Conjecture 3.11. *Assume $s \neq k/2$. For all $m \geq 1$, there is an identity of $\mathcal{O}[G_m]$ -lattices*

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{O}[G_m]}(T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}[G_m]) = \text{Det}_{\mathcal{O}[G_m]}(0) \quad (3.3.18)$$

inside $\Delta_{F_{\lambda}[G_m]}(V(f)_m)$. If $s = k/2$, then the identity (3.3.18) is true for all $m \geq 1$ except possibly finitely many. More generally, there is an identity of S -lattices

$$\Delta_S(T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S) = \text{Det}_S(0) \quad (3.3.19)$$

inside $\Delta_{S[1/p]}(V(f) \otimes_{F_{\lambda}} S[1/p])$ for all morphisms $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow S$ as in definition 3.10.

For clarity of reference, we note that conjecture 3.11 for $m \geq 1$ is equivalent to the p -part of [Kat93a, Conjecture 4.9] for the motive M and the abelian Galois extension $\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Q}$. Conjecture 3.9 (resp. 3.11) is equivalent to [Kat93b, Conjecture 3.2.2 part (v)] for the étale sheaf of perfect complexes of Λ -modules $T(f)_{\text{Iw}}$ (resp. of S -modules $T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S$) on $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$. The computation of equation (3.3.10) also shows that conjecture 3.9 is equivalent to [Kat04, Conjecture 12.10].

By descent as in (3.3.1), conjecture 3.9 is seen to imply conjecture 3.11.

Proposition 3.12. *Assume conjecture 3.9. Then conjecture 3.11 is true for all morphism $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow S$ as in definition 3.10.*

Proof. Let $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow S$ be a morphism as in definition 3.10. According to equation (3.3.1), there is an equality of S -lattices

$$\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda, \phi} S = \Delta_S(T(f)_{\text{Iw}} \otimes_{\Lambda, \phi} S)$$

inside $\Delta_{S[1/p]}(V(f)_{\text{Iw}} \otimes_{\Lambda[1/p], \phi} S[1/p])$. The equality (3.3.17) thus implies that

$$\Delta_S(T(f)_{\text{Iw}} \otimes_{\Lambda, \phi} S) = \text{Det}_{\Lambda}(0) \otimes_{\Lambda} S = \text{Det}_S(0)$$

and hence the statement (3.3.19). $\square$

3.4 The ETNC with coefficients in $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}$

We keep the notational convention that f is an eigencuspform in $S_k(U_1(N))$. Henceforth, the representation $\bar{\rho}_f$ is assumed to satisfy assumption 2.6 and either assumption 2.2 or assumption 2.4. If f is p -ordinary then $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumption 2.2 and all Hecke algebras written below are assumed to contain the operator $T(p)$. Let $\Sigma \supset \{\ell | Np\}$ be a finite set of primes and let $N(\Sigma)$ be the integer

$$N(\Sigma) = N(\bar{\rho}_f) \prod_{\ell \in \Sigma^p} \ell^{\dim_k(\bar{\rho}_f)_{I_{\ell}}}$$

as in sub-section 2.2.

To f is attached a unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_f$ of $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(N(\Sigma))$. Let $\mathfrak{a}^{\text{red}}$ be a minimal prime ideal of $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ such that λ_f factors through $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}_f}/\mathfrak{a}^{\text{red}}$. Because an eigenform is a newform for some unique level, there is an injective morphism

$$\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}_f}[1/p] \hookrightarrow \prod_{M|N(\Sigma)} \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(M)[1/p].$$

Hence, to $\mathfrak{a}^{\text{red}}$ is attached a unique $M|N(\Sigma)$ and a unique minimal ideal of $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(M)$ such that $\mathfrak{a}^{\text{red}}$ is the image of $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Spec } \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(M)$. Thus, if f is new of level U and if λ_f factors through $R(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U)/\mathfrak{a}$ for $\mathfrak{a}$ a minimal prime ideal of $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U)$, then there is a map from $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ to $R(\mathfrak{a})$ which factors through an injective map from $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}_f}/\mathfrak{a}^{\text{red}}$ to $R(\mathfrak{a})$.

By [Wil95, Proposition 2.15] (and its proof), there is a unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathbf{T}(N(\Sigma))$ such that $R_{\Sigma} = \mathbf{T}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$. There is thus a morphism

$$\phi(\mathfrak{a}) : R_{\Sigma} \rightarrow R(\mathfrak{a}) \tag{3.4.1}$$

of local $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras obtained as the composition

$$R_\Sigma = \mathbf{T}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}_f} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}(N(\Sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}_f} / \mathfrak{a}^{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(M) / \mathfrak{a} = R(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Theorem 2.1 implies that R_Σ is the universal deformation ring parametrizing nearly ordinary or flat deformations of $\bar{\rho}_f$ with trivial type which are unramified outside Σ and whose determinant is of weight $k-1$. Moreover, $H_{\text{et}}^1(X_1(N(\Sigma))(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ is a free R_Σ -module of rank 2 and $H_{\text{et}}^1(X_1(M)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$ is a free $R(\mathfrak{a})$ -module of rank 2. Denote by $(T_\Sigma, \rho_\Sigma, R_\Sigma)$ and $(T(\mathfrak{a}), \rho(\mathfrak{a}), R(\mathfrak{a}))$ the corresponding $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma}$ -deformations of $\bar{\rho}_f$.

3.4.1 The ETNC with coefficients in $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}$

Fix a minimal prime $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U)$ through which λ_f factors and let $N(\mathfrak{a})$ be the level of modular points factoring through $R(\mathfrak{a})$. Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})$ be the fraction field of $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ and let $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ be the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation $T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}} \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})$.

As recalled in section 3.3.3, the element $Z(f)(\gamma)$ is a linear combination of

$$_{c,d} \mathbf{Z}_{p^n}^{(p)}(f, k, j, \alpha_i, \text{prime}(pN))$$

with coefficients involving the inverse of the Euler factors of the dual newform f^* . According to [Kat04, Section 5] and to [Kat04, Proposition 8.10, Theorem 9.5], the classes $_{c,d} \mathbf{Z}_{p^n}^{(p)}(f, k, j, \alpha, \text{prime}(pN))$ are the images of classes $_{c,d} \mathbf{Z}_{1,N,m}^{(p)}(k, r, r', \xi, S)$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}$ through the projection to $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}$ composed with λ_f . Hence, mimicking the proof given in [Kat04, Section 13.9] with $\bar{a}_\ell$ replaced everywhere by $T(\ell)$ (the seemingly extraneous complex conjugation comes from the fact that $T(\ell) \in \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}$ is in that context acting on f^*), we obtain an $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -linear morphism

$$Z(\mathfrak{a}) : M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \quad (3.4.2)$$

which we view as a morphism of complexes of $R(\mathfrak{a})$ -modules

$$Z(\mathfrak{a}) : (M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)^+[-1] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}). \quad (3.4.3)$$

The same construction can also be performed with p inverted. Denote by $\text{Im } Z(\mathfrak{a})$ the image of $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ inside $H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$. Then $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ is non-zero and hence a free $R(\mathfrak{a})$ -module of rank 1.

Definition 3.13. Let $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ be the graded invertible $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -module

$$\mathcal{X}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})^{-1} \otimes_{\text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \text{Im } Z(\mathfrak{a})}$$

where $\text{Im } Z(\mathfrak{a})$ is the sub-module generated by the image of $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ inside $H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$. Let $\Delta_{\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})}(\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ be the graded invertible $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})$ -module $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})$.

As $R(\mathfrak{a})[1/p]$ is finite étale over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the Nekovář-Selmer complex $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], V(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ is a perfect complex of $K(\mathfrak{a})$ -modules. Hence, so is $\text{Cone } Z(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes 1$. After inverting p , $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ thus becomes canonically isomorphic to the determinant of the cone of a morphism of complexes towards the Nekovář-Selmer complex of a Galois representation. However, $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ itself does not obviously arise in the same way; which explains the resort to the the set-up of section 3.2.

By construction, $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ comes with a canonical $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -basis $\mathbf{z}(\mathfrak{a})$ which is sent to $\mathbf{z}(g) \in \Delta_{\Lambda}(T(g)_{\text{Iw}})$ for all eigenforms g such that λ_g factors through $R(\mathfrak{a})$. Beside, $\text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Det}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \text{Cone}(Z(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes 1)$ which is an acyclic complex and hence canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$. Hence, there is a second canonical $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -basis in $\text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ given by the pre-image of $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}} \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ through the isomorphisms above. This suggests the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.14. *There is an identity of $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -lattices*

$$\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) = \text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(0) \quad (3.4.4)$$

inside $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$.

Conjecture 3.14 is compatible with modular specializations of $R(\mathfrak{a})$ in the sense of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.15. *Let λ_g be a modular specialization of $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ and let $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow S$ be a morphism as in definition 3.10. Assume conjecture 3.14. Then there is an identity of S -lattices*

$$\Delta_S(T(g) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S) = \text{Det}_S(0)$$

inside $\Delta_{S[1/p]}(V(g) \otimes_{F_{\lambda}} S[1/p])$.

Proof. It is enough to show that $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}, \lambda_g, \phi} S$ is equal to $\Delta_S(T(g) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S)$ and that $\text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(0) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}, \lambda_g, \phi} S$ is equal to $\text{Det}_S(0)$. The latter assertion is part of the functoriality properties of the determinant functor, so we show the first. Because the morphism $Z(g)$ is by construction a specialization of the morphism $Z(\mathfrak{a})$, it is enough to show the equalities

$$(\text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}, \lambda_g, \phi} S = \text{Det}_S(M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S) \quad (3.4.5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{X}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}, \lambda_g, \phi} S = \mathcal{X}(T(g) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S). \quad (3.4.6)$$

The equality (3.4.5) holds by definition of ϕ . To prove (3.4.6), it is enough to show the comparable statement

$$\mathcal{X}_{\ell}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}, \lambda_g, \phi} S = \mathcal{X}_{\ell}(T(g) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S) \quad (3.4.7)$$

for all finite $\ell \nmid p$ dividing $N(\mathfrak{a})$. This holds by corollary 3.6. $\square$

3.4.2 The ETNC with coefficients in $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red}}$

Denote by $M_{\mathfrak{a}}$ the $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -module

$$M_{\mathfrak{a}} = H_{\text{et}}^1(X(N(\mathfrak{a}))(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{T}} R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$$

and by M_{Σ} the $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ -module

$$M_{\Sigma} = H_{\text{et}}^1(X(N(\Sigma))(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{T}} R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}.$$

We wish to relate $M_\Sigma \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ with $M_{\mathfrak{a}}$ by way of a well-chosen cohomological level-lowering map $\pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}$. For $d_2 | d_1 | N | N(\Sigma)$, there is a geometric degeneracy map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{N, d_1, d_2} : X_1(N) &\longrightarrow X_1(N/d_1) \\ [z, g] &\longmapsto [z, g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}] \end{aligned} \quad (3.4.8)$$

between modular curves. The map π is constructed from the cohomological realizations of these maps for rational primes $\ell \nmid p$ dividing $N(\Sigma)/N(\mathfrak{a})$. Let ℓ^{e_ℓ} be a power of a rational prime dividing $N_\Sigma/N(\mathfrak{a})$. For $N(\mathfrak{a})\ell^{e_\ell} | N | N_\Sigma$, denote by $\pi_{N, \ell}$ the map

$$\pi_{N, \ell} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_\ell = 0 \\ \pi_{N, \ell, 1*} - \ell^{-s} T(\ell) \pi_{N, \ell, \ell*} & \text{if } e_\ell = 1 \\ \pi_{N, \ell^2, 1*} - \ell^{-s} T(\ell) \pi_{N, \ell^2, \ell*} + \ell^{-s-2} \langle \ell \rangle \pi_{\ell^2, \ell^2*} & \text{if } e_\ell = 2 \end{cases}$$

from $H^1(X_1(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$ to $H^1(X_1(N/\ell^{e_\ell})(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$. For $(N_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ a list of integers satisfying $N_1 = N(\mathfrak{a})$, $N_n = N(\Sigma)$ and such that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists a prime $\ell_{i+1} \nmid p$ such that $N_{i+1}/N_i = \ell_{i+1}^{e_{\ell_{i+1}}}$, we denote by π_i the map

$$\pi_{N_{i+1}, \ell_{i+1}} : H^1(X_1(N_{i+1})(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow H^1(X_1(N_i)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

and by $\pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}$ the composition

$$\pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}} = (\pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{n-1}) \quad (3.4.9)$$

from $H^1(X_1(N(\Sigma))(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$ to $H^1(X_1(N(\mathfrak{a}))(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Definition 3.16. *Define*

$$\text{Eul}_\ell(\mathfrak{a}) = \det(\text{Id} - \text{Fr}(\ell) | \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})^{I_\ell}), \quad \text{Eul}_\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{\ell \in \Sigma^p} \text{Eul}_\ell(\mathfrak{a}). \quad (3.4.10)$$

Note that as M_Σ^+ is a free $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ -module of rank 1 by theorem 2.1, $M_\Sigma^+ \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \phi(\mathfrak{a})}} R(\mathfrak{a})$ is a free $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -module of rank 1 and hence $\text{Im } \pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}^{\text{Iw}}$ is free of rank 1.

Proposition 3.17. *The map $\pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}$ induces a morphism of $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -modules*

$$\pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}^{\text{Iw}} : M_\Sigma^+ \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \phi(\mathfrak{a})}} R(\mathfrak{a}) \longrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{a}}^+ \quad (3.4.11)$$

such that

$$\text{Im } \pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}^{\text{Iw}} = \text{Eul}_\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}) M_{\mathfrak{a}}^+. \quad (3.4.12)$$

Proof. Up to two modifications, this is [EPW06, Theorem 3.6.2]. The first modification is that the theorem stated there concerns nearly ordinary Hida families of eigenforms under the assumption 2.2. One can easily check that this later hypothesis is used in [EPW06, Section 3.8], where the result is proved, only at the very onset of the proof to specialize to a classical form in the sense of Hida theory; a step we do not need here. The second is that the Euler factor in [EPW06, Definition 3.6.1] is evaluated at $\langle \ell^{-1} \rangle$ whereas ours is evaluated at 1. The reason is that the analytic p -adic L -function constructed there interpolates the special value at 0 of the motive $L(M(f)(1), 0)$ whereas our algebraic object is related to $L(M(f)(s), 0)$ for $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ so we have incorporated the Tate twist in the Galois representation $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})$. $\square$

Let $\Sigma' \supset \Sigma \supset \{Np\}$ be two finite sets of finite primes. Then restricting the action of $R_{\Sigma'}$ to forms of level $N(\Sigma)$ realizes R_{Σ} as a quotient of $R_{\Sigma'}$. In this context, proposition 3.17 admits an easier variant relating $M_{\Sigma'}$ and M_{Σ} . Define as above

$$\pi_{\Sigma, \Sigma'} : H^1(X_1(N(\Sigma'))(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow H^1(X_1(N(\Sigma))(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

to be the composition of the geometric degeneracy maps from $X_1(N(\Sigma'))$ to $X_1(N(\Sigma))$.

Proposition 3.18. *The map $\pi_{\Sigma', \Sigma}$ induces a morphism of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ -modules*

$$\pi_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^{\text{Iw}} : M_{\Sigma'}^+ \otimes_{R_{\Sigma'}} R_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow M_{\Sigma}^+ \quad (3.4.13)$$

such that

$$\text{Im } \pi_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^{\text{Iw}} = M_{\Sigma}^+ \prod_{\ell \in \Sigma' \setminus \Sigma} \det(1 - \text{Fr}(\ell) | T_{\Sigma}). \quad (3.4.14)$$

Proof. This is [Wil95, Proposition 2.6, 2.7]. $\square$

Proposition 3.19. *The graded invertible $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -modules*

$$\text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \left(R \Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}} \right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{X}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \bigotimes_{\ell \in \Sigma^p} \mathcal{X}_{\ell}^{-1}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$$

are canonically isomorphic.

Proof. The complex $R \Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ is a perfect complex of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ -modules so its determinant is well-defined. For simplicity of notation, in this proof only we denote it by $R \Gamma_c(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$. By the base-change property of étale cohomology (or chain) complexes of [SGA72, Théorème 4.3.1] or [Del77, Section 4.12], there is a canonical isomorphism

$$R \Gamma_c(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}} = R \Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}).$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{X}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ and the remark following equation (3.2.1), there is thus a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \left(R \Gamma_c(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}} \right) \overset{\text{can}}{\cong} \mathcal{X}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \bigotimes_{\ell \in \Sigma^p} \mathcal{X}_{\ell}^{-1}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}).$$

$\square$

Definition 3.20. *Let $\Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ be the graded invertible $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ -module*

$$\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} R \Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} (\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} M_{\Sigma}^+) \quad (3.4.15)$$

and let $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ be a basis of $\Delta_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$.

As was the case with $\mathbf{z}(f)$, the element $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ is defined here only up to a choice of unit of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$. The exact choice of unit, though immaterial for our concerns, is pinned down after proposition-definition 3.22. We show that $\Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ comes with two specified $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ -structures.

Proposition 3.21. *There exists an isomorphism*

$$M_{\Sigma}^+ \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \simeq H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \quad (3.4.16)$$

sending a Λ -basis of M_{Σ}^+ to a Λ -basis of $H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$. The identification of these two $Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ -modules by (3.4.16) and acyclicity induce a specified isomorphism

$$(\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} R \Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} (\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} M_{\Sigma}^+)) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}). \quad (3.4.17)$$

Proof. For all $\ell \nmid p$, there exists a twist of $T(f)$ such that the eigenvalues of $\text{Fr}(\ell)$ acting on $T(f)^{I_{\ell}}$ are of non-zero weights. Hence $H^0(G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}, T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$ vanishes for all ℓ and the three complexes

$$R \Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}), R \Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}), R \Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$$

become equal after tensor product with $\text{Frac}(\Lambda)$. Hence $H_c^i(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ for $i \neq 1$ vanishes because this is already the case for $H_{\text{et}}^i(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$.

From

$$H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda) \simeq H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$$

and [Kat04, Theorem 14.5 (1)], we deduce that $H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ is a Λ -module of rank 1. Let x, y be a regular sequence in Λ . The isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} R \Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \stackrel{\text{L}}{\otimes}_{\Lambda} \Lambda/x &\simeq R \Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}/x) \\ R \Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}/x) \stackrel{\text{L}}{\otimes}_{\Lambda/x} \Lambda/(x, y) &\simeq R \Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}/(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

show that $H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})[x]$ and $H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}/x)[y]$ are zero and that

$$H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})/x \hookrightarrow H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}/x)$$

and hence is torsion-free. The depth of $H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ as Λ -module is thus at least 2 and so $H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ is a free Λ -module of rank 1. It is thus isomorphic to M_{Σ}^+ as Λ -module.

All the assertions of the proposition then follow. $\square$

Hence, there exists an $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ -basis of $\Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ given by the inverse image of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ through the isomorphism (3.4.17).

Proposition-Definition 3.22. *Let $\pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}^{\Delta}$ be the map*

$$\pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}^{\Delta} : \Delta_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \longrightarrow \Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a}) \quad (3.4.18)$$

equal to $- \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ on $\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} R \Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ and to the determinant of (3.4.11) on $\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} M_{\Sigma}^+$. Then $\pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}^{\Delta}(\Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}))$ is equal to $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$.

Proof. Note that as M_{Σ}^+ and $M_{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ are free of rank 1, the definition of the determinant of (3.4.11) poses no problem. It is enough to show that the $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ -basis $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ of $\Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ is sent to an $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -basis of $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$. But combining propositions 3.17 and 3.19 shows that $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ is sent to a basis of $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ multiplied by

$$\text{Eul}_{\Sigma}(\mathfrak{a}) \bigotimes_{\ell} \mathcal{X}_{\ell}^{-1}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}).$$

In the canonical trivialization of $\mathcal{X}_\ell^{-1}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ given by tensor product with $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})$ and identification of $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}} \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})$ with $\text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(0) \subset \mathcal{X}_\ell^{-1}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})$, the module $\mathcal{X}_\ell^{-1}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ is sent to $\text{Eul}_\ell(\mathfrak{a})^{-1}R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$. Hence, the image of $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ is indeed a basis of $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$. $\square$

Hence, the $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ -module $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ is sent to $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}\mathbf{z}(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $M|N(\Sigma)$ and all minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Spec } \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(M)$.

An explicit construction of $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ can be given in terms of the universal elements of [Kat04]. In order to do so, it is enough to construct an element $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} \in \Delta_\Sigma(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ such that for all modular specializations λ_f (resp. λ_g) with values in a discrete valuation ring factoring through $R(\mathfrak{a})$ (resp. $R(\mathfrak{a}')$) and for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, the image $\mathbf{z}_\Sigma(f, G_m)$ (resp. $\mathbf{z}_\Sigma(g, G_m)$) of $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ through λ_f (resp. λ_g) composed with the surjection from Λ to $\mathcal{O}[G_m]$ is equal to $\mathbf{z}(f, G_m)$ (resp. $\mathbf{z}(g, G_m)$). That in turns amount to showing that $\mathbf{z}_\Sigma(f, G_m)$ (resp. $\mathbf{z}_\Sigma(g, G_m)$) is sent to $L_{\{p\}}^{G_m}(f, s)$ (resp. $L_{\{p\}}^{G_m}(g, s)$) through the map of proposition 3.8.

As recalled in 3.3.2, by the independence on the choice of the covering in the construction the analytic Euler system of [Kat04], the elements $\mathbf{z}(f, G_m)$ and $\mathbf{z}(g, G_m)$ are linear combinations of images in the relevant spaces of the same element, namely the $c, d\mathbf{z}_{1, N, p^m}(k, r, \xi, S)$, in $H^1(X(N(\Sigma))(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^m})$. Furthermore, by [Kat04, Theorem 5.6], there exists a linear combination $\mathbf{z}_m$ of the

$$c, d\mathbf{z}_{1, N, p^m}(k, r, \xi, S) \in H^1(X(N(\Sigma))(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{k-2}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^m})$$

such that the image of $\mathbf{z}_m$ through the period map of [Kat04, Theorem 5.6] is equal to the special value of the universal L -function with Euler factors removed (attentive readers of [Kat04] know that this linear combination involves c , d and the diamond operators $\langle d \rangle$ but its exact expression is unimportant to us). The element $\mathbf{z}_m$ is independent of all choices, and especially of the choices of $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}'$, yet is sent by universality to $L_{\Sigma(\mathfrak{a})}^{G_m}(f, s)$ or $L_{\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}')}^{G_m}(g, s)$ after projection to the relevant eigenspaces. Moreover, the elements $\mathbf{z}_m$ for a projective system for the norm map, as can be seen either directly from their construction in terms of Siegel units as in [Kat04, Proposition 2.3] or from their characteristic property as $L_{\Sigma(\mathfrak{a})}^{G_m}(f, s)$ or $L_{\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}')}^{G_m}(g, s)$ satisfy this property. Let $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ be the the invariant part of the inverse limit on m of the $\mathbf{z}_m$ under complex conjugation. Then the image of $\mathbf{z}$ through $\pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}^\Delta$ composed with projection to the eigenspace corresponding to f and with projection from Λ to $\mathcal{O}[G_m]$ is equal to $L_{\Sigma(\mathfrak{a})}^{G_m}(f, s)$. By universality of $\mathbf{z}_m$, the same statement holds for $\mathfrak{a}'$ and λ_g so $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ satisfies the expected properties.

From this point of view, the previous proposition can be seen as a conceptual reinterpretation of the computations of [Kat04, Theorem 5.6]. Alternatively, propositions 3.17, 3.19 and 3.22 express the statement that there exists two *a priori* equally valid ways to associate a p -adic measure to an eigenform: one computing the special values of the L -function with all Euler factors at places of bad reduction removed and one with only the p -adic Euler factor removed. Interestingly, but not surprisingly within the conjectural framework of the ETNC, these two measure come from the very same universal cohomological object through two not quite identical routes. As such, these three propositions are close algebraic counterparts to [EPW06, Theorem 3.6.2].

Proposition-Definition 3.23. *Let $\pi_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^\Delta$ be the map*

$$\pi_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^\Delta : \Delta_{\Sigma'}(T_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}}) \longrightarrow \Delta_\Sigma(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \quad (3.4.19)$$

equal to $-\otimes_{R_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}}} R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ on $\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma'], T_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}})$ and to the determinant of (3.4.13) on $\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}}} M_{\Sigma'}^+$. Then $\pi_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^{\Delta}(\Delta_{\Sigma'}(T_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}}))$ is equal to $\Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$.

Proof. Once noted that

$$R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}}}^L R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} = R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma'], T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$$

and that

$$\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}^{-1} R\Gamma(G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) = \text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}^{-1} [T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} \xrightarrow{1 - \text{Fr}(\ell)} T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}]$$

is canonically identified with $\det^{-1}(1 - \text{Fr}(\ell)|T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ after tensor product with $Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ for all $\ell \in \Sigma' - \Sigma$, the proof becomes similar (but easier) to that of proposition 3.22 using proposition 3.18 in place of proposition 3.17. $\square$

We are now in position to state a universal ETNC.

Conjecture 3.24. *There is an identity of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ -lattices*

$$\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) = \text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(0)$$

in $\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$.

Conjecture 3.24 is compatible with modular specializations and with change of levels in the sense of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.25. *Conjecture 3.24 implies conjecture 3.14 for all $M|N(\Sigma)$ and all minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Spec } \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U(M))$ as well as conjecture 3.11 for all modular specializations λ_g of R_{Σ} and for all morphisms $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow S$ as in definition 3.10. Conjecture 3.24 for R_{Σ} is equivalent to conjecture 3.24 for $R_{\Sigma'}$ for all $\Sigma' \supset \Sigma$.*

Proof. Let $M|N(\Sigma)$ and $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Spec } \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U(M))$ be a minimal prime. According to propositions 3.17, 3.19 and 3.22, $\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ and $\text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(0)$ are sent through the map $\pi_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{a}}^{\Delta}$ to $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}})$ and $\text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(0)$ respectively. Hence, conjecture 3.24 implies conjecture 3.14 and thus conjecture 3.11 for all modular specializations λ_g of R_{Σ} factoring through $R(\mathfrak{a})$ and for all morphism $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow S$ as in definition 3.10 by proposition 3.15. The last assertion follows from proposition 3.23 and functoriality of the determinant. $\square$

4 Proofs of the main results

4.1 A lemma about Euler systems for modular forms

Henceforth, we consistently assume the following.

Assumption 4.1. *There exists $\ell \in \Sigma$ such that $\ell || N(\bar{\rho}_f)$ and such that the image of $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$ contains a non-identity unipotent element.*

As discussed in the introduction, the ultimate mathematical meaning of assumption 4.1 remains quite mysterious; its proximate function, on the other hand, is provided by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let g be a newform with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}'$ congruent to f modulo p . There exists $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}))$ such that the cokernel of $\rho_g(\sigma) - 1$ is an $\mathcal{O}'$ -module free of rank 1.*

Proof. As $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ is unramified at ℓ , it is enough to show that there exists $\sigma \in I_\ell$ such that $\rho_g(\sigma) - 1 \neq 0 = (\rho_g(\sigma) - 1)^2$ and such that the cokernel of $\bar{\rho}_f(\sigma) - 1$ is of dimension 1. By assumption 4.1, the I_ℓ -representation $\bar{\rho}_g = \bar{\rho}_f$ is ramified and has a non-identity unipotent element $\bar{\rho}_f(\sigma)$ in its image so is not the direct sum of two characters. Thus $\pi(g)_\ell$ is a Steinberg representation; see for instance [Car89, Section 1] or [DT94, Page 1]. After restriction to a subgroup U of prime to p finite index in I_ℓ , $\rho_g|_U$ is then unipotent but non-trivial. Hence, a suitable prime to p power of $\rho_g(\sigma)$ is a unipotent element mapping to a non-identity unipotent element. $\square$

The following proposition, due to K.Kato, plays a crucial role in the reduction to the ETNC with coefficients in the Hecke algebra to known results about Iwasawa theory of modular forms.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that $k > 2$ or that $\bar{\rho}_f$ is not nearly ordinary. Let $g \in S_k(U)$ be a newform with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}'$ congruent to f . Let $T(g)_{\text{Iw}}$ be the Galois representation with coefficients in $A = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ attached to g . As in sub-section 3.3.3, we denote by Z the image of $Z(g)$ in $H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}})$. Then:*

$$\text{char}_A H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}}) \mid \text{char}_A H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}})/Z$$

Proof. Thanks to assumption 4.1 and lemma 4.2, the hypothesis [Kat99, Section 0.6 (ii_{str})] is satisfied. By [Kat04, 12.5 (4)], the inequality of lengths

$$\text{length}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T)_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \text{length}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T)_{\mathfrak{p}}/Z_{\mathfrak{p}} + \text{length}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, T(g)_{\text{Iw}})_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

thus holds for all $\mathfrak{p}$ of grade 1 in A . Fix such a $\mathfrak{p}$. Then $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, T(g)_{\text{Iw}})$ does not vanish after localization at $\mathfrak{p}$ only if it is infinite. Following [Kat04, Section 13.13], we note that this happens only if $\rho_g|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible and not potentially crystalline, and hence only if $\pi(g)_p$ is an ordinary Steinberg representation of weight 2 by [Sai97, Theorem]. $\square$

4.2 Weak forms of the ETNC conjectures

We formulate weakened version of our conjectures 3.9, 3.11, 3.14 and 3.24.

Conjecture 4.4. *If $s \neq k/2$, there is an inclusion of $\mathcal{O}[G_m]$ -lattices*

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{O}[G_m]}(T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}[G_m]) \subset \text{Det}_{\mathcal{O}[G_m]}(0) \quad (4.2.1)$$

inside $\Delta_{F_\lambda[G_m]}(V(f) \otimes_{F_\lambda} F_\lambda[G_m])$ for all $m \geq 1$. If $s = k/2$, this is true for all $m \geq 1$ except possibly finitely many. More generally, there is an inclusion of S -lattices

$$\Delta_S(T(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S) \subset \text{Det}_S(0) \quad (4.2.2)$$

inside $\Delta_{S[1/p]}(V(f) \otimes_{F_\lambda} S[1/p])$ for all morphism $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow S$ as in definition 3.10.

Conjecture 4.5. *There is an inclusion of Λ -lattices*

$$\Delta_\Lambda(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \subset \text{Det}_\Lambda(0) \quad (4.2.3)$$

inside $\Delta_\Lambda(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes_\Lambda \text{Frac}(\Lambda) \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$.

Conjecture 4.6. *There is an inclusion of $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ -lattices*

$$\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \subset \text{Det}_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}}(0)$$

inside $\Delta_{\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})}(\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}) \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Conjecture 4.7. *There is an inclusion of R_{Σ} -lattices*

$$\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \subset \text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(0)$$

in $\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$.

Propositions 3.15 and 3.25 have the following counterpart, whose proof is similar but easier, and therefore omitted.

Proposition 4.8. *Conjecture 4.7 for Σ is equivalent to conjecture 4.7 for all $\Sigma' \supset \Sigma$. Conjecture 4.7 implies conjecture 4.6 for all $M|N(\Sigma)$ and all minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Spec } \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U(M))$. Conjecture 4.6 for $\mathfrak{a}$ implies conjecture 4.5 for a modular specialization λ_f of $R(\mathfrak{a})$. Conjecture 4.5 for a modular specialization λ_f implies conjecture 4.4 for λ_f and $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow S$ a morphism as in definition 3.10.*

The aim of the next two sub-sections is to prove conjecture 4.7 under on one hand assumption 4.1 and on the other either assumption 2.4 or assumption 2.2.

4.3 Proof of conjecture 4.7 under assumption 4.9

In addition to our ongoing assumptions, we assume in this sub-section the following.

Assumption 4.9. *The local representation $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is irreducible.*

Because $\bar{\rho}_f$ then does not satisfy assumption 2.2, it has to satisfy assumption 2.4. By proposition 4.8, there is no loss of generality in assuming furthermore that f is minimally ramified outside p , or in other words that f is new of level $N(\bar{\rho}_f)p^s$ for some s , in order to prove conjecture 4.7. Thanks to assumption 4.9, ρ_f is attached to a point of the minimal universal deformation ring $R_{\Sigma, \text{Id}}^{\text{fl}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$.

In all this subsection, we identify a graded invertible module with grade equal to zero to the invertible module equal to its first component. For simplicity of notations, we also sometimes abbreviate $\Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ in Δ_{Σ} and $Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ in $Q_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$.

4.3.1 Trivialization of the fundamental lines

If (u, v) is a pair of regular elements of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$, we say that an ideal J is adequate with respect to (u, v) if $xy \notin J$. Given such a pair (u, v) in $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$, J is adequate with respect to (u, v) if it is contained in a large enough power of the maximal ideal of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ and the subset of prime ideals which are not adequate with respect to (u, v) is of large codimension in $\text{Spec } R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$.

For all $\Sigma \supset \{\ell | Np\}$, let

$$\psi_{\Sigma} : \Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$$

be the specified isomorphism of equation (3.4.17). Then there exists regular elements $(x, y) \in R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}^2$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{\Sigma} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\Sigma}} & \frac{x}{y} R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta_{\Sigma} \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\Sigma}} & Q_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} \end{array} \quad (4.3.1)$$

Equivalently, ψ_{Σ} induces an isomorphism

$$y \Delta_{\Sigma} \stackrel{\psi_{\Sigma}}{\simeq} x R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}. \quad (4.3.2)$$

Let J be an ideal of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ adequate for (x, y) and let R be the quotient $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}/J$. Then ψ_{Σ} induces an isomorphism of non-zero R -modules

$$\bar{y} \Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})/J \stackrel{\psi_{\Sigma}}{\simeq} \bar{x} R. \quad (4.3.3)$$

Let Σ, Σ' two finite sets of finite primes containing $\{\ell | Np\}$. Choose elements (x, y) and (x', y') as in equation (4.3.2) for $\Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ and $\Delta_{\Sigma'}(T_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}})$ respectively and let J (resp. J') be an ideal of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ (resp. $R_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}}$) adequate with respect to (x, y) (resp. (x', y')). If $R = R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}/J$ is isomorphic to $R' = R_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}}/J'$, then let Σ'' be $\Sigma \cup \Sigma'$. Let ϕ_{Σ} be the isomorphism between $\Delta_{\Sigma} \otimes Q_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ and $Q_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ of proposition (3.4.17) but normalized so that the image of Δ_{Σ} is $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ and let $\phi_{\Sigma'}$ and $\phi_{\Sigma''}$ be likewise. As any arrow in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Delta_{\Sigma'} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Sigma'}} & R_{\Sigma', \text{Iw}} \\ & \nearrow \pi_{\Sigma'', \Sigma'}^{\Delta} & & \nearrow & \searrow \text{mod } J' \\ \Delta_{\Sigma''} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Sigma''}} & R_{\Sigma'', \text{Iw}} & & R \\ & \searrow \pi_{\Sigma'', \Sigma}^{\Delta} & & \searrow & \nearrow \text{mod } J \\ & & \Delta_{\Sigma} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Sigma}} & R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} \end{array} \quad (4.3.4)$$

sends a basis to a basis, it commutes perhaps up to multiplication by a unit. Thus a choice of $x_{\Sigma''}, y_{\Sigma''}$ such that

$$y_{\Sigma''} \Delta_{\Sigma''}(T_{\Sigma'', \text{Iw}}) \stackrel{\psi_{\Sigma''}}{\simeq} x_{\Sigma''} R_{\Sigma'', \text{Iw}}.$$

induces choices of x_{Σ}, y_{Σ} and $x_{\Sigma'}, y_{\Sigma'}$ which are compatible after reduction modulo J and J' .

4.3.2 The Taylor-Wiles system of refined fundamental lines

For S a complete local $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra, a Taylor-Wiles system $\{(R_Q, M_Q)\}_{Q \in X}$ over S consists of the following data.

1. The set X is infinite. Its elements are the empty set and finite sets Q of constant cardinality r of rational primes congruent to 1 modulo p .

For $Q \in X$ and $q \in Q$, we denote by Γ_q the p -Sylow subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and by Γ_Q the product

$$\Gamma_Q = \prod_{q \in Q} \Gamma_q.$$

2. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the subset

$$X_n = \{Q \in X \mid \forall q \in Q, q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}\}$$

is infinite.

3. For $Q \in X$, R_Q is a complete local noetherian $S[\Gamma_Q]$ -algebra generated by at most r elements and M_Q is an R_Q -module which is a free $S[\Gamma_Q]$ -module of finite rank independent of Q .

We denote $(R_\emptyset, M_\emptyset)$ by (R, M) and let I_Q be the augmentation ideal of $S[\Gamma_Q]$.

4. For all $Q \in X$, there is a surjection of local S -algebras

$$R_Q/I_Q R_Q \twoheadrightarrow R$$

equal to the identity if $Q = \emptyset$.

5. The morphism

$$R_Q/I_Q R_Q \longrightarrow \text{End}_S M_Q/I_Q M_Q$$

factors through R and $M_Q/I_Q M_Q$ is isomorphic to M as an R -module.

The ring $R_\Sigma = R_{\Sigma, \text{Id}}^{\text{fl}}$ being minimal, there exists by [Wil95, TW95] a well-chosen set X such that the system $\{(R_{\Sigma \cup Q}, \Delta_{\Sigma \cup Q})\}_{Q \in X}$ in which we identify $\Delta_{\Sigma \cup Q}$ with its underlying free $\mathbf{T}_{\Sigma \cup Q}$ -module is a Taylor-Wiles system over $\mathcal{O}$. Taking the tensor product with Λ , this yields a Taylor-Wiles system $\{(R_{\Sigma \cup Q, \text{Iw}}, \Delta_{\Sigma \cup Q, \text{Iw}})\}_{Q \in X}$

For $Q \in X$ non-empty and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $J_{Q,n} \subset \Lambda[\Gamma_Q]$ the ideal generated by

$$\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda^n, \{\gamma^{p^n} - 1 \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_q\}$$

and by $R_{Q,n}$ the quotient $R_{\Sigma \cup Q, \text{Iw}}/J_{Q,n} R_{\Sigma \cup Q, \text{Iw}}$. Then there exists a projective system $\{R_{Q(n),n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with surjective transition maps

$$R_{Q(n+1),n+1} \twoheadrightarrow R_{Q(n+1),n} \simeq R_{Q(n),n}$$

such that the inverse limit

$$R_\infty = \varprojlim_n R_{Q(n),n}$$

is isomorphic to the power-series ring $\Lambda[[X_1, \dots, X_r]]$ and is in particular local regular of dimension $2+r \geq 3$ (see [Fuj99, Section 2.2]). This projective system induces a projective systems of refined fundamental lines $\Delta_{\Sigma \cup Q(n),m} = \Delta_{\Sigma \cup Q(n), \text{Iw}}/J_{Q,m}$ satisfying

$$\Delta_{R_{Q(n+1),n+1}} \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_{R_{Q(n+1),n}} \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} \Delta_{R_{Q(n),n}}.$$

Note that though this does not appear anymore in the notation, $R_{Q(n),n}$ and $\Delta_{R_{Q(n),n}}$ are Λ -modules. Let (x, y) be a choice of elements as in (4.3.2). For n large enough,

the ideal $J_{Q(n),n}$ is adequate with respect to (x, y) . Hence, for n large enough, we can make appropriate choices as in diagram (4.3.4) to construct a projective system $(x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in R_{Q(n)}/J_{Q(n),n}$ (which depends highly on the choices at each steps) such that

$$y_n \Delta_{R_{Q(n),n}} \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} x_n R_{Q(n)}/J_{Q(n),n}$$

for n large enough. We let Δ_∞ be the inverse limit of the $\Delta_{R_{Q(n),n}}$ and $(x_\infty, y_\infty) \in R_\infty^2$ be the inverse limit of the (x_n, y_n) . Then there is a specified (even canonical once all previous choices have been made) isomorphism

$$\psi_\infty : y_\infty \Delta_\infty \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} x_\infty R_\infty$$

of invertible modules defined as the inverse limits of the $\psi_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \bmod J_{Q(n),n}$. If the image of Δ_∞ through ψ_∞ is included in R_∞ , then the image of $\Delta_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ through ψ is included in $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ and hence conjecture 4.7 is true. Consequently, it is enough to show that the image of Δ_∞ through ψ_∞ is included in R_∞ .

4.3.3 Reduction to classical Iwasawa theory of modular forms

Assume by way of contradiction that this is not the case, *i.e* that the image of Δ_∞ through ψ_∞ is not included in R_∞ . Then there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ generated by a sub-system of parameters of R_∞ which is adequate with respect to (x_∞, y_∞) such that $A = R_\infty/\mathfrak{p}$ is a discrete valuation ring flat over $\mathcal{O}$ and such that there exists a power N of the principal maximal ideal of A such that the image of $\Delta_\infty \otimes_{R_\infty} A/\mathfrak{m}_A^N$ through $\bar{\psi}_\infty = \psi_\infty \bmod(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{m}_A^N)$ is equal to $A/\mathfrak{m}_A^{N+n_0}$ for some $n_0 > 0$. If A satisfies these properties, then any discrete valuation ring finite flat over A as A -module also does, so that we can assume A is large. By construction of R_∞ , there exists n large enough so that $R_{Q(n),n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A$ surjects onto $A/\mathfrak{m}_A^N$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_\infty & \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{R_\infty} R_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)}} & \Delta_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A \\ \downarrow -\otimes_{R_\infty} A/\mathfrak{m}_A^N & & \downarrow \psi_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_A^N \\ \Delta_\infty \otimes_{R_\infty} A/\mathfrak{m}_A^N & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}_\infty} & A/\mathfrak{m}_A^{N+n_0} \end{array}$$

is then commutative perhaps up to a unit. In particular, the image of $\Delta_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B$ through $\psi_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)}$ is not included in $R_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B$ for any finite flat discrete valuation ring extension B of A . Choose B large enough so that the ring $R_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B$ is a product of integrally closed domains (for instance by taking B the normalization of A). There then exists a minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Spec}(R_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B)$ such that the image of $\Delta_{R_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B/\mathfrak{a}$ through $\psi_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)}$ is not included in $R_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B/\mathfrak{a}$. Let $(T, \rho, B/\mathfrak{a})$ be the $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma \cup Q(n)}$ -representation $T_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \otimes_{R_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)}} B/\mathfrak{a}$ and let Z be the image of $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ in $H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T)$. The ring $R_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B$ is equal to $S = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ for some discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}'$ finite flat over $\mathcal{O}$ and hence is regular of dimension 2. Moreover, T is the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation with coefficients in S attached to some newform $g \equiv f \bmod p$ of tame level $N(\bar{\rho}_f) \cup Q$ and of weight k . The statement that the image of $\Delta_{R_{Q(n)}} \otimes_{R_{Q(n)}} B/\mathfrak{a}$ through $\psi_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)}$ is not included in $B/\mathfrak{a}$ thus becomes the statement

$$\text{char}_S H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}}) \nmid \text{char}_S H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}})/Z. \quad (4.3.5)$$

This contradicts proposition 4.3.

4.4 Proof of conjecture 4.7 under assumption 4.10

In addition to our ongoing assumptions, we assume in this sub-section the following.

Assumption 4.10. *The local representation $\rho_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible.*

In particular, $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumption 2.2. The proof of conjecture 4.7 under assumption 2.2 cannot imitate directly the proof in sub-section 4.3.3 for two reasons. First, if $\bar{\rho}_f$ is nearly ordinary finite, then ρ_f might not correspond to a point on the minimal deformation ring of $\bar{\rho}_f$. Second, the statement

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda} H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}}) \nmid \text{char} H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}})/Z$$

invoked in equation (4.3.5) does not contradict [Kat04, Theorem 12.4]: when $\pi(g)_p$ is a Steinberg representation, the non-finiteness of $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, T(g)_{\text{Iw}})$ might contribute a non-trivial error term. Both difficulties disappear if we repeat the entire argument of this manuscript with $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}$ or $\mathbf{T}^{\text{ord}}$ replaced everywhere by the Hida-Hecke algebras $\mathbf{T}^{\text{new,ord}}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\text{red,ord}}$.

4.4.1 Hida-theoretic conjectures

We repeat the entirety of section 3 with the following modifications. The discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$ is replaced everywhere by $\Lambda_{\text{Hi}} \simeq \mathcal{O}[[Y]]$ and the Iwasawa algebra Λ is replaced everywhere by $\Lambda_{\text{Hi,Iw}} = \Lambda_{\text{Hi}}[[\Gamma]] \simeq \mathcal{O}[[X, Y]]$. The Betti cohomology group $M_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}$ is replaced everywhere by

$$M_{\text{Hi}} = \varprojlim_s e^{\text{ord}} H_{\text{et}}^1(X_1(Np^s) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{F}_{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}).$$

The $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation $(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}, \rho_f, \Lambda)$ is replaced everywhere by $(T(f)_{\text{Hi,Iw}}, \rho_{f,\text{Hi}}, \Lambda_{\text{Hi,Iw}})$. Likewise, $T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ over $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ is replaced by $T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Hi,Iw}}$ over $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Hi,Iw}}$ and $T_{\Sigma,\text{Iw}}$ over $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ is replaced by $T_{\Sigma,\text{Hi,Iw}}$ over $R_{\Sigma,\text{Hi,Iw}}$.

The elements ${}_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{M,N}^{(p)}(k, r)$ are replaced by the elements $\varprojlim_s {}_{c,d}\mathbf{z}_{Mp^s, Np^s}^{(p)}(k, r)$. The existence of the morphisms

$$Z(f)_{\text{Hi}} : (M_{\text{Hi}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Lambda)^+[-1] \longrightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Hi,Iw}})$$

and

$$Z(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Hi}} : M_{\mathfrak{a},\text{Hi}}^+[-1] \longrightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{et}}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Hi,Iw}})$$

then follows by reduction to finite level using (2.4.4) as explained in [FK12, Section 3], see especially [FK12, Theorem 3.2.3] (in fact, the results proved there are more general, as they incorporate the possibility of the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action being of residual type; in our case, the torsion submodule which might arise in this way vanishes after localization at $\mathfrak{m}_f$). Then $\Delta_{R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Hi,Iw}}}(T(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Hi,Iw}})$ with its distinguished basis $\mathbf{z}(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Hi}}$ are defined as in definition 3.13. Proposition 3.17 is replaced by [EPW06, Theorem 3.6.2]. In the proof of proposition 3.21, it might no longer be true that $H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma,\text{Hi,Iw}})$ is free of rank 1 over $\Lambda_{\text{Hi,Iw}}$. Nevertheless, the same proof as in the proof of proposition 3.21 shows that after

localization at any grade 1 prime of this regular ring, $H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}})$ becomes free of rank 1. Thus there is still an isomorphism

$$M_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}}^+ \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \simeq H_c^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma], T_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}). \quad (4.4.1)$$

The definition of $\Delta_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}, \text{Hi}})$, of its distinguished basis $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}}$ and of the map

$$\pi_{\Sigma, \mathbf{a}, \text{Hi}}^{\Delta} : \Delta_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}, \text{Hi}}) \longrightarrow \Delta_{R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(T(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}})$$

sending $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}}$ to $\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}}$ is then as in definition 3.20 and proposition-definition 3.22 with similar proofs. Conjectures 3.14, 3.24, 4.6 and 4.7 are then generalized as follows.

Conjecture 4.11. *There is an identity of $R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ -lattices*

$$\Delta_{R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(T(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) = \text{Det}_{R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(0) \quad (4.4.2)$$

inside $\Delta_{R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(T(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}} \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$.

Conjecture 4.12. *There is an identity of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ -lattices*

$$\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) = \text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(0)$$

in $\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}})$.

Conjecture 4.13. *There is an inclusion of $R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ -lattices*

$$\Delta_{R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(T(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \subset \text{Det}_{R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(0) \quad (4.4.3)$$

inside $\Delta_{R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(T(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}} \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$.

Conjecture 4.14. *There is an inclusion of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ -lattices*

$$\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \subset \text{Det}_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(0)$$

in $\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}})$.

Analogues of propositions 3.15, 3.25 and 4.8 then remain true with the same proofs.

Proposition 4.15. *Conjecture 4.12 for $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ implies conjecture 4.7 for $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$. Conjecture 4.12 for $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ is equivalent to conjecture 4.12 for $R_{\Sigma', \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ for all $\Sigma' \supset \Sigma$. Conjecture 4.12 implies conjecture 4.11 for all $M|N(\Sigma)$ and all minimal prime ideals $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Spec } \mathbf{T}^{\text{new}}(U(M))$ as well as conjecture 3.11 for all modular specializations λ_g of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}}$ and for all morphisms $\phi : \Lambda \longrightarrow S$ as in definition 3.10.*

Proof. The ring $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ is by proposition 2.7 the universal deformation ring parametrizing nearly ordinary deformations of $\bar{\rho}_f$. There is thus a morphism

$$\psi : R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}} \longrightarrow R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$$

coming from the identification of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ with the universal deformation ring parametrizing nearly ordinary deformations of fixed weight. The morphism ψ induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}} \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}, \psi} R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} &\simeq T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} \\ M_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}} \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}} R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} &\simeq M_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence ψ induces a canonical isomorphism

$$\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}) \otimes_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}, \psi} R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}). \quad (4.4.4)$$

The statements of the proposition follow. $\square$

In order to establish (4.4.4), it is enough to appeal to equation (2.4.4) and so this isomorphism holds under the only hypothesis that f is nearly p -ordinary: it is only for the sake of concision that we appealed to comparatively much more sophisticated interpretation of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ as a universal deformation ring (which is true under our much more stringent ongoing hypotheses).

4.4.2 Reduction to classical Iwasawa theory for modular forms of weight $k > 2$

We assume by way of contradiction that conjecture 4.14 is false.

Nearly ordinary universal deformation rings give rise to Taylor-Wiles systems with coefficients in $\Lambda_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$, see [Fuj99, Section 11]. Hence, there exists a well-chosen set X such that the system $\{(R_{\Sigma \cup Q, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}, \Delta_{\Sigma \cup Q, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}})\}_{Q \in X}$ is a Taylor-Wiles system over $\Lambda_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$. We repeat the proof of subsection 4.3.3. Recall that this proof establishes successively the existence of the following objects.

1. A prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ adequate with respect to (x_∞, y_∞) generated by a sub-system of parameters of R_∞ such that $A = R_\infty/\mathfrak{p}$ is a discrete valuation ring flat over $\mathcal{O}$.
2. An integer N such that the image of $\Delta_\infty \otimes_{R_\infty} A/\mathfrak{m}_A^N$ through $\bar{\psi}_\infty = \psi_\infty \bmod(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{m}_A^N)$ is equal to $A/\mathfrak{m}_A^{N+n_0}$ for some $n_0 > 0$.
3. An integer n such that $R_{Q(n), n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A$ surjects onto $A/\mathfrak{m}_A^N$.
4. A discrete valuation ring B finite flat over A such that $R_{Q(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B$ is normal.
5. A minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Spec}(R_{Q(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B)$ such that the image of $\Delta_{R_{Q(n)}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B/\mathfrak{a}$ through $\psi_{\Sigma \cup Q_n}$ is not included in $B/\mathfrak{a}$.
6. A $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation $T = T(g)_{\text{Iw}}$ with coefficients in $S = R_{\Sigma \cup Q(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B$ attached to a p -ordinary modular form g congruent to f verifying

$$\text{char}_S H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}}) \nmid \text{char}_S H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}})/Z. \quad (4.4.5)$$

Among the set of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ allowing such a construction, the set of those such that the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation $T(g)_{\text{Iw}}$ with coefficients in $B/\mathfrak{a}$ comes for g of weight 2 modular and Steinberg at p is of large codimension. Hence, we can choose $\mathfrak{p}$ such that g is of weight $k > 2$ in which case equation (4.4.5) contradicts proposition 4.3.

4.4.3 Proof of corollary 1.3

We repeat the statement of corollary 1.3 from the introduction.

Corollary 4.16. *Assume that f satisfies assumptions 2.2, 2.6, 4.1 and 4.10 (hence f is p -ordinary). Then*

$$\text{char}_\Lambda H_{\text{et}}^2(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \mid \text{char}_\Lambda H_{\text{et}}^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}})/\mathbf{z}(f). \quad (4.4.6)$$

Proof. The divisibility (4.4.6) is equivalent to the statement of conjecture 4.5 for f . According to subsection 4.4.2, under the hypotheses of the corollary, conjecture 4.14 is true and thus conjecture 4.5 for f is true by proposition 4.15. $\square$

If f satisfies assumptions 2.6, 4.1 and does not satisfy 4.10, then the divisibility

$$\text{char}_\Lambda H_{\text{et}}^2(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \mid \text{char}_\Lambda H_{\text{et}}^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(f)_{\text{Iw}})/\mathbf{z}(f).$$

follows from proposition 4.3 and hence already follows from [Kat04]. Hence, corollary 4.16 establishes the last remaining case in corollary 1.3.

4.5 Proof of conjecture 4.5 in the remaining cases

It remains to prove conjecture 4.5 when f is not p -ordinary but its residual representation is reducible. Assume the conjecture to be false. We repeat the argument of subsection 4.3.3 to obtain a modular form g congruent to f verifying

$$\text{char}_\Lambda H_{\text{et}}^2(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}}) \nmid \text{char}_\Lambda H_{\text{et}}^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g)_{\text{Iw}})/Z. \quad (4.5.1)$$

Either g is not p -ordinary with $\pi(g)_p$ a Steinberg representation and (4.5.1) contradicts proposition 4.3 or it is, in which case ρ_g corresponds to a point of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_f)$, for which conjecture 4.14 is true by subsection 4.4.2, and (4.5.1) contradicts corollary 4.16.

Finally, we have shown the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let f be attached to a modular point of R_Σ factoring through $R(\mathfrak{a})$. Assume $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumptions 2.6, 2.4, and 4.1. Then conjecture 4.7 is true for $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ and conjecture 4.6 is true for $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$. Assume $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumptions 2.6, 2.2, and 4.1. Then conjecture 4.7 is true for $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$, conjecture 4.14 is true for $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$, conjecture 4.6 is true for $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Iw}}$ and conjecture 4.13 is true for $R(\mathfrak{a})_{\text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$.*

4.6 Proof of corollary 1.4 and theorem 1.2

4.6.1 Proof of corollary 1.4

We repeat the statement of corollary 1.4

Corollary 4.17. *Assume that $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies the assumptions 2.2 or 2.4, 2.6 and 4.1. The three following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *Conjecture 3.24 is true for $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$.*
2. *For all modular specializations λ of R_Σ , conjecture 3.9 is true for f_λ .*
3. *There exists a modular specialization λ of R_Σ such that conjecture 3.9 is true for f_λ .*

If moreover $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible, then R_Σ may be replaced by $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}}$ in assertions 2 and 3 and $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ may be replaced by $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ in assertion 1.

Proof. According to proposition 3.25, the assertions are in decreasing order of logical strength so it is enough to prove that assertion 3 implies assertion 1. Let f be the eigencuspform attached to the modular specialization λ for which conjecture 3.9 is true. Theorem 4.1 states that the specified isomorphism

$$\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \otimes Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} Q(R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$$

sends $\Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}})$ into $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$. By proposition 4.8, it follows that conjecture 4.5 for f is true and thus that the specified isomorphism

$$\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) \otimes \text{Frac}(\Lambda) \stackrel{\text{can}}{\simeq} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$$

sends $\Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}})$ into Λ . Hence, there is a commutative diagram of local morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}}(T_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}) & \longrightarrow & R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta_{\Lambda}(T(f)_{\text{Iw}}) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda \end{array}$$

Conjecture 3.9 for f , which is true by assertion 3, states that the image of the lowermost horizontal arrow is Λ . This implies that the image of the uppermost horizontal arrow is $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$.

If moreover $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is reducible, then the same proof replacing everywhere $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ by $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ proves the ultimate claim. $\square$

4.6.2 Proof of theorem 1.2

We repeat the statement of theorem 1.2.

Theorem 4.2. *Let p be an odd prime and N such that $p \nmid N$. Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(p^r) \cap \Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigencuspform. Assume that $\bar{\rho}_f$ satisfies assumptions 2.6, 2.2 and 4.1. Then conjecture 3.24 is true for $R_{\Sigma, \text{Iw}}$ and conjecture 4.12 is true for $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$.*

Proof. In view of theorem 4.1 and corollaries 4.16 and 4.17, it is enough to prove that there exists a modular specialization of $R_{\Sigma, \text{Hi}, \text{Iw}}$ of weight $k > 2$ such that

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda} H_{\text{et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g) \otimes \Lambda) / \mathbf{z}(g) \mid \text{char}_{\Lambda} H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p], T(g) \otimes \Lambda).$$

By [Kat04, Section 17.13] (see especially the short exact sequence at the end of that section) and [Och03, Theorem 3.14], this is equivalent to the main conjecture in Iwasawa theory of modular forms of R.Greenberg and B.Mazur; see for instance [Och06, Conjecture 7.4] for a precise statement. Hence, it is true by [SU13, Theorem 3.29] once we check that the hypotheses of this theorem are verified. Under the hypotheses of 4.2, the hypotheses **(dist)** and **(irr)** of [SU13, Theorem 3.29] are true respectively by our assumption 2.2 and assumption 2.6. The third hypothesis of [SU13, Theorem 3.29] is our assumption 4.1. The first, fourth and last hypotheses of [SU13, Theorem 3.29] are imposed there in order to establish proposition 4.3, but we have checked that this proposition remained true without any supplementary assumptions (the main reason for this difference is that [SU13] do not use the improvements of [Kat04] contained in [Och03, Och05, Och06]). $\square$

Acknowledgments The author thanks L.Clozel, F.Jouve and J.Riou for helpful discussions and comments about this work. He is particularly grateful to T.Fukaya for providing him a copy of [FK12].

References

- [BC09] Joël Bellaïche and Gaëtan Chenevier, *Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups*, Astérisque (2009), no. 324, xii+314.
- [Beĭ84] A. A. Beĭlinson, *Higher regulators and values of L -functions*, Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 24, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1984, pp. 181–238.
- [Beĭ86] ———, *Higher regulators of modular curves*, Applications of algebraic K -theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983), Contemp. Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 1–34.
- [BK90] Spencer Bloch and Kazuya Kato, *L -functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, Progr. Math., vol. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 333–400.
- [Böc01] Gebhard Böckle, *On the density of modular points in universal deformation spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), no. 5, 985–1007.
- [Car86] Henri Carayol, *Sur les représentations l -adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **19** (1986), no. 3, 409–468.
- [Car89] ———, *Sur les représentations galoisiennes modulo l attachées aux formes modulaires*, Duke Math. J. **59** (1989), no. 3, 785–801. MR 1046750 (91b:11058)
- [Car94] ———, *Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet*, p -adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (Boston, MA, 1991), Contemp. Math., vol. 165, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 213–237.
- [Cas73] William Casselman, *On some results of Atkin and Lehner*, Math. Ann. **201** (1973), 301–314.
- [CM98] Robert Coleman and Barry Mazur, *The eigencurve*, Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 254, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. 1–113.
- [Col04] Pierre Colmez, *La conjecture de Birch et Swinnerton-Dyer p -adique*, Astérisque (2004), no. 294, ix, 251–319.
- [Del69] Pierre Deligne, *Formes modulaires et représentations ℓ -adiques*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 21ème année (1968/69), Exp. No. 355, Springer, Berlin, 1969, pp. 139–172.
- [Del77] P. Deligne, *Cohomologie étale*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 569, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 41er2, Avec la collaboration de J. F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J. L. Verdier.

- [Del79] ———, *Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d'intégrales*, Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, With an appendix by N. Koblitz and A. Ogus, pp. 313–346.
- [Del87] Pierre Deligne, *Le déterminant de la cohomologie*, Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985), Contemp. Math., vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 93–177.
- [Dia96] Fred Diamond, *On deformation rings and Hecke rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **144** (1996), no. 1, 137–166.
- [Dix68] *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 3, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1968.
- [DT94] Fred Diamond and Richard Taylor, *Nonoptimal levels of mod l modular representations*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), no. 3, 435–462.
- [EPW06] Matthew Emerton, Robert Pollack, and Tom Weston, *Variation of Iwasawa invariants in Hida families*, Invent. Math. **163** (2006), no. 3, 523–580. MR 2207234 (2007a:11059)
- [FK12] Takako Fukaya and Kazuya Kato, *On conjectures of Sharifi*, Preprint, 2012.
- [Fon92] Jean-Marc Fontaine, *Valeurs spéciales des fonctions L des motifs*, Astérisque (1992), no. 206, Exp. No. 751, 4, 205–249, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1991/92.
- [Fou10] Olivier Fouquet, *Euler systems in the Iwasawa theory of ordinary modular forms*, Algebraic Number Theory and Related Topics 2008, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B19, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2010, pp. 255–276.
- [Fou13] Olivier Fouquet, *Dihedral Iwasawa theory of nearly ordinary quaternionic automorphic forms*, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 3, 356–416.
- [FPR94] Jean-Marc Fontaine and Bernadette Perrin-Riou, *Autour des conjectures de Bloch et Kato: cohomologie galoisienne et valeurs de fonctions L* , Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 599–706.
- [Fuj99] Kazuhiro Fujiwara, *Deformation rings and Hecke algebras in the totally real case*, 1999, Preprint, 99pp.
- [Gre91] Ralph Greenberg, *Iwasawa theory for motives, L -functions and arithmetic* (Durham, 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 153, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991, pp. 211–233.
- [Hid86] Haruzo Hida, *Galois representations into $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p[[X]])$ attached to ordinary cusp forms*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 3, 545–613.
- [Hid88] ———, *Modules of congruence of Hecke algebras and L -functions associated with cusp forms*, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), no. 2, 323–382.

- [Hid89a] ———, *Nearly ordinary Hecke algebras and Galois representations of several variables*, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988), Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989, pp. 115–134.
- [Hid89b] ———, *On nearly ordinary Hecke algebras for $GL(2)$ over totally real fields*, Algebraic number theory, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 17, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989, pp. 139–169.
- [How04a] Benjamin Howard, *The Heegner point Kolyvagin system*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 6, 1439–1472.
- [How04b] ———, *Iwasawa theory of Heegner points on abelian varieties of GL_2 type*, Duke Math. J. **124** (2004), no. 1, 1–45.
- [Iwa73] Kenkichi Iwasawa, *On $\mathbf{Z}_l$ -extensions of algebraic number fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **98** (1973), 246–326.
- [JS77] Hervé Jacquet and Joseph A. Shalika, *A non-vanishing theorem for zeta functions of GL_n* , Invent. Math. **38** (1976/77), no. 1, 1–16.
- [Kat93a] Kazuya Kato, *Iwasawa theory and p -adic Hodge theory*, Kodai Math. J. **16** (1993), no. 1, 1–31.
- [Kat93b] ———, *Lectures on the approach to Iwasawa theory for Hasse-Weil L -functions via B_{dR} . I*, Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Trento, 1991), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1553, Springer, Berlin, 1993, pp. 50–163.
- [Kat99] ———, *Euler systems, Iwasawa theory, and Selmer groups*, Kodai Math. J. **22** (1999), no. 3, 313–372. MR 1727298 (2000k:11076)
- [Kat04] ———, *p -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, Astérisque (2004), no. 295, ix, 117–290, Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques. III.
- [Kat07] ———, *Iwasawa theory and generalizations*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. I, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2007, pp. 335–357.
- [Kis09] Mark Kisin, *Moduli of finite flat group schemes, and modularity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **170** (2009), no. 3, 1085–1180.
- [KM76] Finn Faye Knudsen and David Mumford, *The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves. I. Preliminaries on “det” and “Div”*, Math. Scand. **39** (1976), no. 1, 19–55.
- [KM85] Nicholas M. Katz and Barry Mazur, *Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 108, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985.
- [Kol90] Viktor Kolyvagin, *Euler systems*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 435–483.
- [Maz72] Barry Mazur, *Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields*, Invent. Math. **18** (1972), 183–266.

- [Maz79] ———, *On the arithmetic of special values of L functions*, Invent. Math. **55** (1979), no. 3, 207–240.
- [Maz89] ———, *Deforming Galois representations*, Galois groups over $\mathbf{Q}$ (Berkeley, CA, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 16, Springer, New York, 1989, pp. 385–437.
- [MR04] Barry Mazur and Karl Rubin, *Kolyvagin systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **168** (2004), no. 799, viii+96.
- [MT90] Barry Mazur and Jacques Tilouine, *Représentations galoisiennes, différentielles de Kähler et “conjectures principales”*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1990), no. 71, 65–103.
- [Nek06] Jan Nekovář, *Selmer complexes*, Astérisque (2006), no. 310, 559.
- [Nys96] Louise Nyssen, *Pseudo-représentations*, Math. Ann. **306** (1996), no. 2, 257–283.
- [Och03] Tadashi Ochiai, *A generalization of the Coleman map for Hida deformations*, Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), no. 4, 849–892.
- [Och05] ———, *Euler system for Galois deformations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55** (2005), no. 1, 113–146.
- [Och06] ———, *On the two-variable Iwasawa main conjecture*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 5, 1157–1200.
- [PR98] Bernadette Perrin-Riou, *Systèmes d’Euler p -adiques et théorie d’Iwasawa*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **48** (1998), no. 5, 1231–1307.
- [Ram93] Ravi Ramakrishna, *On a variation of Mazur’s deformation functor*, Compositio Math. **87** (1993), no. 3, 269–286. MR 1227448 (94h:11054)
- [Roh88] David E. Rohrlich, *L -functions and division towers*, Math. Ann. **281** (1988), no. 4, 611–632.
- [Rou96] Raphaël Rouquier, *Caractérisation des caractères et pseudo-caractères*, J. Algebra **180** (1996), no. 2, 571–586. MR 1378546 (97a:20010)
- [Rub00] Karl Rubin, *Euler systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 147, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, Hermann Weyl Lectures. The Institute for Advanced Study.
- [Sai97] Takeshi Saito, *Modular forms and p -adic Hodge theory*, Invent. Math. **129** (1997), no. 3, 607–620.
- [Sch90] A. J. Scholl, *Motives for modular forms*, Invent. Math. **100** (1990), no. 2, 419–430.
- [SGA72] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des topos*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 269, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé

par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat.

- [Shi76] Goro Shimura, *The special values of the zeta functions associated with cusp forms*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1976), no. 6, 783–804.
- [Shi78] ———, *The special values of the zeta functions associated with Hilbert modular forms*, Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), no. 3, 637–679.
- [ST68] Jean-Pierre Serre and John Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 492–517.
- [SU13] Chris Skinner and Éric Urban, *The iwasawa main conjectures for GL_2* , Invent. Math. (2013).
- [Tay89] Richard Taylor, *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), no. 2, 265–280. MR 1016264 (90m:11176)
- [TW95] Richard Taylor and Andrew Wiles, *Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 553–572.
- [Vat03] Vinayak Vatsal, *Special values of anticyclotomic L -functions*, Duke Math. J. **116** (2003), no. 2, 219–261.
- [Wil88] Andrew Wiles, *On ordinary λ -adic representations associated to modular forms*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), no. 3, 529–573.
- [Wil95] ———, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 443–551.